

District Summary of 2010 Title III AMAO Determinations					
System Code	System Name#	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Progress Determination	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Proficiency Determination	Final AMAO 3 Determination	Final AMAO Determination
111	Consortium	Met	Met	Does Not Apply to Consortium	Met
601	Appling County	Met	Met	Met	Met
602	Atkinson County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
603	Bacon County	Met	Did Not Meet	Too Few in Subgroup	Did Not Meet
604	Baker County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
605	Baldwin County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
606	Banks County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
607	Barrow County	Met	Met	Met	Met
608	Bartow County	Met	Met	Met	Met
609	Ben Hill County	Met	Met	Met	Met
610	Berrien County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
611	Bibb County	Met	Met	Met	Met
612	Bleckley County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
613	Brantley County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
614	Brooks County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
615	Bryan County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
616	Bulloch County	Did Not Meet	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Did Not Meet
617	Burke County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
618	Butts County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
619	Calhoun County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
620	Camden County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
621	Candler County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
622	Carroll County	Met	Met	Met	Met
623	Catoosa County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
624	Charlton County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
625	Chatham County	Met	Met	Met	Met
626	Chattahoochee County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
627	Chattooga County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
628	Cherokee County	Met	Met	Met	Met
629	Clarke County	Met	Met	Met	Met
630	Clay County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
631	Clayton County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
632	Clinch County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
633	Cobb County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
634	Coffee County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
635	Colquitt County	Met	Met	Met	Met

System Code	System Name#	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Progress Determination	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Proficiency Determination	Final AMAO 3 Determination	Final AMAO Determination
636	Columbia County	Met	Met	Met	Met
637	Cook County	Met	Met	Met	Met
638	Coweta County	Met	Met	Met	Met
639	Crawford County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
640	Crisp County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
641	Dade County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
642	Dawson County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
643	Decatur County	Met	Met	Met	Met
644	DeKalb County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
645	Dodge County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
646	Dooley County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
647	Dougherty County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
648	Douglas County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
649	Early County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
650	Echols County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
651	Effingham County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
652	Elbert County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
653	Emanuel County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
654	Evans County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
655	Fannin County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
656	Fayette County	Met	Met	Met	Met
657	Floyd County	Met	Met	Met	Met
658	Forsyth County	Met	Met	Met	Met
659	Franklin County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
660	Fulton County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
661	Gilmer County	Met	Met	Met	Met
662	Glascocock County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
663	Glynn County	Met	Met	Met	Met
664	Gordon County	Met	Met	Met	Met
665	Grady County	Met	Met	Met	Met
666	Greene County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
667	Gwinnett County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
668	Habersham County	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
669	Hall County	Met	Met	Met	Met
670	Hancock County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
671	Haralson County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
672	Harris County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met

System Code	System Name#	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Progress Determination	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Proficiency Determination	Final AMAO 3 Determination	Final AMAO Determination
673	Hart County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
674	Heard County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
675	Henry County	Met	Met	Met	Met
676	Houston County	Met	Met	Met	Met
677	Irwin County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
678	Jackson County	Met	Met	Met	Met
679	Jasper County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
680	Jeff Davis County	Met	Met	Met	Met
681	Jefferson County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
682	Jenkins County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
683	Johnson County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
684	Jones County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
685	Lamar County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
686	Lanier County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
687	Laurens County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
688	Lee County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
689	Liberty County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
690	Lincoln County	Too Few in Subgroup	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
691	Long County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
692	Lowndes County	Met	Met	Met	Met
693	Lumpkin County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
694	Macon County	Too Few in Subgroup	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
695	Madison County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
696	Marion County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
697	McDuffie County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
698	McIntosh County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
699	Meriwether County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
700	Miller County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
701	Mitchell County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
702	Monroe County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
703	Montgomery County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
704	Morgan County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
705	Murray County	Met	Met	Met	Met
706	Muscogee County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
707	Newton County	Met	Met	Met	Met
708	Oconee County	Met	Met	Met	Met
709	Oglethorpe County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met

System Code	System Name#	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Progress Determination	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Proficiency Determination	Final AMAO 3 Determination	Final AMAO Determination
710	Paulding County	Met	Met	Met	Met
711	Peach County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
712	Pickens County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
713	Pierce County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
714	Pike County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
715	Polk County	Met	Met	Met	Met
716	Pulaski County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
717	Putnam County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
718	Quitman County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
719	Rabun County	Met	Met	Met	Met
720	Randolph County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
721	Richmond County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
722	Rockdale County	Met	Met	Met	Met
723	Schley County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
724	Screven County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
725	Seminole County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
726	Spalding County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
727	Stephens County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
728	Stewart County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
729	Sumter County	Met	Met	Met	Met
730	Talbot County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
731	Taliaferro County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
732	Tattnall County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
733	Taylor County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
734	Telfair County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
735	Terrell County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
736	Thomas County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
737	Tift County	Met	Met	Met	Met
738	Toombs County	Met	Met	Met	Met
739	Towns County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
740	Treutlen County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
741	Troup County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
742	Turner County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
743	Twiggs County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
744	Union County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
745	Thomaston-Upson County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
746	Walker County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met

System Code	System Name#	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Progress Determination	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Proficiency Determination	Final AMAO 3 Determination	Final AMAO Determination
747	Walton County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
748	Ware County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
749	Warren County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
750	Washington County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
751	Wayne County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
752	Webster County	Too Few in Subgroup	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
753	Wheeler County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
754	White County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
755	Whitfield County	Met	Met	Met	Met
756	Wilcox County	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
757	Wilkes County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
758	Wilkinson County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
759	Worth County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
761	Atlanta Public Schools	Met	Met	Met	Met
763	Bremen City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
764	Buford City	Met	Met	Met	Met
765	Calhoun City	Met	Met	Met	Met
766	Carrollton City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
767	Cartersville City	Met	Met	Met	Met
769	Chickamauga City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
771	Commerce City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
772	Dalton City	Met	Met	Met	Met
773	Decatur City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
774	Dublin City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
776	Gainesville City	Met	Met	Met	Met
779	Jefferson City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
781	Marietta City	Met	Met	Met	Met
784	Pelham City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
785	Rome City	Met	Met	Met	Met
786	Social Circle City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
789	Thomasville City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
791	Trion City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
792	Valdosta City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
793	Vidalia City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
799	State Schools	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
7820108	Mountain Education Center	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
7820110	Odyssey	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup

System Code	System Name#	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Progress Determination	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Proficiency Determination	Final AMAO 3 Determination	Final AMAO Determination
7820210	Scholars Academy	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
7830103	CCAT	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup	Too Few in Subgroup
7830110	Ivy Preparatory Academy	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	Met
999	State	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
#All districts in the state are included in this table.					
Determinations for districts belonging to the Title III Consortium will be congruent with the determination of the Consortium for AMAO 1 and AMAO 2.					
For AMAO 3, each district is reported independently.					
Districts with too few ELL students to make a determination on any AMAO have no determination reported.					

2010 District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress Toward English Language Proficiency

System Code	System Name#	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2010 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=49%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 49%?	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Determinations
111	Consortium	1,086	760	70.0	Yes	Met
601	Appling County	81	59	72.8	Yes	Met
602	Atkinson County	52	35	67.3	Yes	Met
603	Bacon County	36	19	52.8	Yes	Met
606	Banks County	47	30	63.8	Yes	Met
607	Barrow County	585	359	61.4	Yes	Met
608	Bartow County	385	268	69.6	Yes	Met
609	Ben Hill County	77	59	76.6	Yes	Met
611	Bibb County	178	136	76.4	Yes	Met
616	Bulloch County	135	64	47.4	No	Did Not Meet
622	Carroll County	171	128	74.9	Yes	Met
625	Chatham County	312	217	69.6	Yes	Met
628	Cherokee County	766	572	74.7	Yes	Met
629	Clarke County	751	486	64.7	Yes	Met
631	Clayton County	2,245	1,604	71.4	Yes	Met
633	Cobb County	5,479	3,638	66.4	Yes	Met
634	Coffee County	175	129	73.7	Yes	Met
635	Colquitt County	491	340	69.2	Yes	Met
636	Columbia County	132	97	73.5	Yes	Met
637	Cook County	68	47	69.1	Yes	Met
638	Coweta County	279	205	73.5	Yes	Met
642	Dawson County	41	30	73.2	Yes	Met
643	Decatur County	97	60	61.9	Yes	Met
644	DeKalb County	4,844	3,142	64.9	Yes	Met
647	Dougherty County	61	30	49.2	Yes	Met
648	Douglas County	547	387	70.7	Yes	Met
651	Effingham County	42	35	83.3	Yes	Met
652	Elbert County	68	48	70.6	Yes	Met
653	Emanuel County	50	31	62.0	Yes	Met
654	Evans County	120	85	70.8	Yes	Met
656	Fayette County	267	205	76.8	Yes	Met
657	Floyd County	145	118	81.4	Yes	Met
658	Forsyth County	882	578	65.5	Yes	Met
659	Franklin County	51	39	76.5	Yes	Met
660	Fulton County	2,709	2,052	75.7	Yes	Met
661	Gilmer County	216	113	52.3	Yes	Met
663	Glynn County	339	236	69.6	Yes	Met
664	Gordon County	212	154	72.6	Yes	Met
665	Grady County	131	103	78.6	Yes	Met
666	Greene County	55	34	61.8	Yes	Met

System Code	System Name#	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2010 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=49%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 49%?	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Determinations
667	Gwinnett County	12,482	9,603	76.9	Yes	Met
668	Habersham County	337	194	57.6	Yes	Met
669	Hall County	2,957	2,006	67.8	Yes	Met
673	Hart County	39	25	64.1	Yes	Met
675	Henry County	447	339	75.8	Yes	Met
676	Houston County	431	296	68.7	Yes	Met
678	Jackson County	183	125	68.3	Yes	Met
680	Jeff Davis County	153	102	66.7	Yes	Met
688	Lee County	36	28	77.8	Yes	Met
689	Liberty County	33	25	75.8	Yes	Met
691	Long County	39	26	66.7	Yes	Met
692	Lowndes County	100	78	78.0	Yes	Met
693	Lumpkin County	37	26	70.3	Yes	Met
695	Madison County	44	36	81.8	Yes	Met
704	Morgan County	26	19	73.1	Yes	Met
705	Murray County	288	204	70.8	Yes	Met
706	Muscogee County	196	146	74.5	Yes	Met
707	Newton County	267	175	65.5	Yes	Met
708	Oconee County	88	64	72.7	Yes	Met
709	Oglethorpe County	57	43	75.4	Yes	Met
710	Paulding County	244	180	73.8	Yes	Met
711	Peach County	116	65	56.0	Yes	Met
713	Pierce County	63	41	65.1	Yes	Met
715	Polk County	269	209	77.7	Yes	Met
717	Putnam County	30	22	73.3	Yes	Met
719	Rabun County	76	51	67.1	Yes	Met
721	Richmond County	30	22	73.3	Yes	Met
722	Rockdale County	372	271	72.8	Yes	Met
726	Spalding County	66	54	81.8	Yes	Met
729	Sumter County	90	52	57.8	Yes	Met
732	Tattnall County	122	84	68.9	Yes	Met
737	Tift County	328	198	60.4	Yes	Met
738	Toombs County	118	75	63.6	Yes	Met
741	Troup County	68	58	85.3	Yes	Met
747	Walton County	59	39	66.1	Yes	Met
748	Ware County	46	36	78.3	Yes	Met
751	Wayne County	55	33	60.0	Yes	Met
755	Whitfield County	1,276	922	72.3	Yes	Met
761	Atlanta Public Schools	782	541	69.2	Yes	Met
764	Buford City	167	128	76.6	Yes	Met
765	Calhoun City	239	197	82.4	Yes	Met

System Code	System Name#	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2010 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=49%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 49%?	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Determinations
766	Carrollton City	80	44	55.0	Yes	Met
767	Cartersville City	208	144	69.2	Yes	Met
772	Dalton City	768	602	78.4	Yes	Met
776	Gainesville City	1,247	770	61.7	Yes	Met
779	Jefferson City	35	25	71.4	Yes	Met
781	Marietta City	569	455	80.0	Yes	Met
785	Rome City	311	226	72.7	Yes	Met
792	Valdosta City	45	34	75.6	Yes	Met
999	State	50,527	35,870	71.0	Yes	Met
# To be included in this table, a district must have at least 1 ELL student with an ACCESS test record.						
For privacy and reliability reasons, performance is only reported for groups with 10 or more students.						

2010 CONSORTIUM District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress Toward English Language Proficiency

System Code	System Name#	Consortium Membership	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2010 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=49%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 49%?	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Determinations*
111	Consortium	Consortium	1,086	760	70.0	Yes	Met
604	Baker County	Consortium	14	5	35.7	No	Did Not Meet
605	Baldwin County	Consortium	33	25	75.8	Yes	Met
610	Berrien County	Consortium	36	18	50.0	Yes	Met
612	Bleckley County	Consortium	12	4	33.3	No	Did Not Meet
613	Brantley County	Consortium	14	11	78.6	Yes	Met
614	Brooks County	Consortium	27	18	66.7	Yes	Met
617	Burke County	Consortium	9	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
618	Butts County	Consortium	10	6	60.0	Yes	Met
619	Calhoun County	Consortium	2	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
620	Camden County	Consortium	23	16	69.6	Yes	Met
621	Candler County	Consortium	28	23	82.1	Yes	Met
623	Catoosa County	Consortium	37	28	75.7	Yes	Met
624	Charlton County	Consortium	4	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
626	Chattahoochee County	Consortium	10	8	80.0	Yes	Met
627	Chattooga County	Consortium	22	15	68.2	Yes	Met
632	Clinch County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
640	Crisp County	Consortium	22	16	72.7	Yes	Met
641	Dade County	Consortium	6	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
645	Dodge County	Consortium	30	17	56.7	Yes	Met
646	Dooly County	Consortium	24	12	50.0	Yes	Met
649	Early County	Consortium	18	12	66.7	Yes	Met
650	Echols County	Consortium	16	11	68.8	Yes	Met
655	Fannin County	Consortium	12	10	83.3	Yes	Met
671	Haralson County	Consortium	14	11	78.6	Yes	Met
672	Harris County	Consortium	4	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
677	Irwin County	Consortium	6	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
679	Jasper County	Consortium	32	26	81.3	Yes	Met

System Code	System Name#	Consortium Membership	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2010 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=49%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 49%?	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Determinations*
681	Jefferson County	Consortium	14	8	57.1	Yes	Met
682	Jenkins County	Consortium	20	12	60.0	Yes	Met
683	Johnson County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
684	Jones County	Consortium	4	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
685	Lamar County	Consortium	5	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
686	Lanier County	Consortium	15	11	73.3	Yes	Met
687	Laurens County	Consortium	13	10	76.9	Yes	Met
696	Marion County	Consortium	31	24	77.4	Yes	Met
697	McDuffie County	Consortium	17	13	76.5	Yes	Met
698	McIntosh County	Consortium	10	7	70.0	Yes	Met
699	Meriwether County	Consortium	4	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
700	Miller County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
701	Mitchell County	Consortium	11	5	45.5	No	Did Not Meet
702	Monroe County	Consortium	10	8	80.0	Yes	Met
703	Montgomery County	Consortium	21	15	71.4	Yes	Met
712	Pickens County	Consortium	28	23	82.1	Yes	Met
716	Pulaski County	Consortium	10	8	80.0	Yes	Met
720	Randolph County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
723	Schley County	Consortium	2	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
725	Seminole County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
727	Stephens County	Consortium	17	12	70.6	Yes	Met
734	Telfair County	Consortium	15	11	73.3	Yes	Met
735	Terrell County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
736	Thomas County	Consortium	25	18	72.0	Yes	Met
739	Towns County	Consortium	2	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
740	Treutlen County	Consortium	2	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
742	Turner County	Consortium	8	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
744	Union County	Consortium	29	18	62.1	Yes	Met
745	Thomaston-Upson County	Consortium	21	14	66.7	Yes	Met

System Code	System Name#	Consortium Membership	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record	Number of 2010 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2009 Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2010 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=49%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 49%?	Final 2010 AMAO 1 Determinations*
746	Walker County	Consortium	17	15	88.2	Yes	Met
750	Washington County	Consortium	16	11	68.8	Yes	Met
753	Wheeler County	Consortium	8	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
754	White County	Consortium	29	23	79.3	Yes	Met
757	Wilkes County	Consortium	14	12	85.7	Yes	Met
758	Wilkinson County	Consortium	14	12	85.7	Yes	Met
759	Worth County	Consortium	5	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
763	Bremen City	Consortium	7	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
769	Chickamauga City	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
771	Commerce City	Consortium	32	28	87.5	Yes	Met
773	Decatur City	Consortium	23	13	56.5	Yes	Met
774	Dublin City	Consortium	10	7	70.0	Yes	Met
784	Pelham City	Consortium	7	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
786	Social Circle City	Consortium	6	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
789	Thomasville City	Consortium	11	8	72.7	Yes	Met
791	Trion City	Consortium	39	31	79.5	Yes	Met
793	Vidalia City	Consortium	9	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
7820108	Mountain Education Center	Consortium	11	4	36.4	No	Did Not Meet
7830110	Ivy Preparatory Academy	Consortium	10	5	50.0	Yes	Met
# To be included in this table, a consortium district must have at least 1 ELL student with an ACCESS test record.							
* All districts in the Consortium met the AMAO 1 target because the consortium met the target. The other data displayed in this table can be used for information.							
For privacy and reliability reasons, performance is only reported for groups with 10 or more students.							

2010 District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency										
System Code	System Name#	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Composite PL of at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2010 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 6%)	2010 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 6%?	Second Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Percentage (Target=Statewide Percentage, 16.6%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criterion Greater than 16.6?*	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Determinations
111	Consortium	1,849	301	16.3	Yes	1,398	239	17.1	Yes	Met
601	Appling County	110	23	20.9	Yes	104	31	29.8	Yes	Met
602	Atkinson County	123	10	8.1	Yes	54	6	11.1	No	Met
603	Bacon County	57	3	5.3	No	36	5	13.9	No	Did Not Meet
606	Banks County	63	10	15.9	Yes	60	12	20.0	Yes	Met
607	Barrow County	774	76	9.8	Yes	656	93	14.2	No	Met
608	Bartow County	541	95	17.6	Yes	453	81	17.9	Yes	Met
609	Ben Hill County	129	20	15.5	Yes	110	24	21.8	Yes	Met
611	Bibb County	319	47	14.7	Yes	202	35	17.3	Yes	Met
616	Bulloch County	216	19	8.8	Yes	147	6	4.1	No	Met
622	Carroll County	244	42	17.2	Yes	203	40	19.7	Yes	Met
625	Chatham County	568	68	12.0	Yes	402	58	14.4	No	Met
628	Cherokee County	1,212	176	14.5	Yes	910	173	19.0	Yes	Met
629	Clarke County	1,156	165	14.3	Yes	885	174	19.7	Yes	Met
631	Clayton County	3,430	549	16.0	Yes	2,603	446	17.1	Yes	Met
633	Cobb County	7,953	1,361	17.1	Yes	6,162	679	11.0	No	Met
634	Coffee County	249	34	13.7	Yes	176	0	0.0	No	Met
635	Colquitt County	878	144	16.4	Yes	536	39	7.3	No	Met
636	Columbia County	243	48	19.8	Yes	168	40	23.8	Yes	Met
637	Cook County	98	23	23.5	Yes	81	15	18.5	Yes	Met
638	Coweta County	421	65	15.4	Yes	350	81	23.1	Yes	Met
642	Dawson County	57	10	17.5	Yes	47	11	23.4	Yes	Met
643	Decatur County	140	30	21.4	Yes	128	28	21.9	Yes	Met
644	DeKalb County	8,289	789	9.5	Yes	5,412	469	8.7	No	Met
647	Dougherty County	116	8	6.9	Yes	76	0	0.0	No	Met
648	Douglas County	833	83	10.0	Yes	604	111	18.4	Yes	Met
651	Effingham County	75	25	33.3	Yes	58	9	15.5	No	Met
652	Elbert County	91	17	18.7	Yes	79	7	8.9	No	Met
653	Emanuel County	82	6	7.3	Yes	59	8	13.6	No	Met
654	Evans County	164	15	9.1	Yes	127	8	6.3	No	Met
656	Fayette County	463	105	22.7	Yes	324	58	17.9	Yes	Met
657	Floyd County	205	30	14.6	Yes	197	59	29.9	Yes	Met
658	Forsyth County	1,391	208	15.0	Yes	1,160	268	23.1	Yes	Met
659	Franklin County	68	13	19.1	Yes	67	0	0.0	No	Met
660	Fulton County	4,574	898	19.6	Yes	3,279	627	19.1	Yes	Met

System Code	System Name#	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Composite PL of at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2010 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 6%)	2010 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 6%?	Second Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Percentage (Target=Statewide Percentage, 16.6%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criterion Greater than 16.6?*	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Determinations
661	Gilmer County	316	19	6.0	Yes	264	48	18.2	Yes	Met
663	Glynn County	489	63	12.9	Yes	375	54	14.4	No	Met
664	Gordon County	287	41	14.3	Yes	256	52	20.3	Yes	Met
665	Grady County	206	40	19.4	Yes	164	42	25.6	Yes	Met
666	Greene County	82	17	20.7	Yes	64	11	17.2	Yes	Met
667	Gwinnett County	18,920	4,056	21.4	Yes	15,163	3,096	20.4	Yes	Met
668	Habersham County	554	31	5.6	No	390	35	9.0	No	Did Not Meet
669	Hall County	3,993	515	12.9	Yes	3,369	551	16.4	No	Met
673	Hart County	57	6	10.5	Yes	60	18	30.0	Yes	Met
675	Henry County	758	156	20.6	Yes	635	200	31.5	Yes	Met
676	Houston County	704	108	15.3	Yes	522	109	20.9	Yes	Met
678	Jackson County	271	62	22.9	Yes	223	38	17.0	Yes	Met
680	Jeff Davis County	210	39	18.6	Yes	168	5	3.0	No	Met
688	Lee County	77	24	31.2	Yes	53	17	32.1	Yes	Met
689	Liberty County	58	8	13.8	Yes	44	6	13.6	No	Met
691	Long County	92	9	9.8	Yes	62	20	32.3	Yes	Met
692	Lowndes County	179	51	28.5	Yes	154	51	33.1	Yes	Met
693	Lumpkin County	66	8	12.1	Yes	49	14	28.6	Yes	Met
695	Madison County	72	8	11.1	Yes	46	19	41.3	Yes	Met
704	Morgan County	61	10	16.4	Yes	28	2	7.1	No	Met
705	Murray County	396	43	10.9	Yes	370	33	8.9	No	Met
706	Muscogee County	358	44	12.3	Yes	202	9	4.5	No	Met
707	Newton County	490	99	20.2	Yes	314	53	16.9	Yes	Met
708	Oconee County	121	31	25.6	Yes	100	21	21.0	Yes	Met
709	Oglethorpe County	70	13	18.6	Yes	58	7	12.1	No	Met
710	Paulding County	362	73	20.2	Yes	293	63	21.5	Yes	Met
711	Peach County	167	28	16.8	Yes	120	11	9.2	No	Met
713	Pierce County	107	33	30.8	Yes	74	6	8.1	No	Met
715	Polk County	402	55	13.7	Yes	351	70	19.9	Yes	Met
717	Putnam County	54	15	27.8	Yes	48	8	16.7	Yes	Met
719	Rabun County	102	14	13.7	Yes	100	23	23.0	Yes	Met
721	Richmond County	81	10	12.3	Yes	59	0	0.0	No	Met
722	Rockdale County	562	106	18.9	Yes	419	55	13.1	No	Met
726	Spalding County	124	27	21.8	Yes	75	11	14.7	No	Met
729	Sumter County	139	17	12.2	Yes	104	15	14.4	No	Met
732	Tattall County	173	17	9.8	Yes	119	8	6.7	No	Met

System Code	System Name#	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Composite PL of at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2010 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 6%)	2010 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 6%?	Second Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Percentage (Target=Statewide Percentage, 16.6%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criterion Greater than 16.6?*	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Determinations
737	Tift County	484	59	12.2	Yes	385	65	16.9	Yes	Met
738	Toombs County	167	31	18.6	Yes	152	37	24.3	Yes	Met
741	Troup County	117	20	17.1	Yes	83	12	14.5	No	Met
747	Walton County	121	17	14.0	Yes	89	26	29.2	Yes	Met
748	Ware County	78	9	11.5	Yes	47	5	10.6	No	Met
751	Wayne County	83	8	9.6	Yes	60	6	10.0	No	Met
755	Whitfield County	1,799	287	16.0	Yes	1,442	183	12.7	No	Met
761	Atlanta Public Schools	1,287	176	13.7	Yes	944	115	12.2	No	Met
764	Buford City	255	56	22.0	Yes	209	49	23.4	Yes	Met
765	Calhoun City	301	65	21.6	Yes	263	37	14.1	No	Met
766	Carrollton City	142	26	18.3	Yes	104	25	24.0	Yes	Met
767	Cartersville City	311	55	17.7	Yes	241	50	20.7	Yes	Met
772	Dalton City	1,193	159	13.3	Yes	904	191	21.1	Yes	Met
776	Gainesville City	1,757	204	11.6	Yes	1,287	134	10.4	No	Met
779	Jefferson City	58	12	20.7	Yes	44	11	25.0	Yes	Met
781	Marietta City	917	168	18.3	Yes	665	154	23.2	Yes	Met
785	Rome City	433	67	15.5	Yes	361	75	20.8	Yes	Met
792	Valdosta City	106	25	23.6	Yes	57	0	0.0	No	Met
999	State	77,950	12,856	16.5	Yes	59,545	9,896	16.6	Not Applicable	Met
# To be included in this table, a district must have at least 1 ELL student with an ACCESS test record.										
* Proficiency 2nd Look applies only when a district has 10 or more ELL ACCESS Test Takers and it did not make the Proficiency target (6%).										
For privacy and reliability reasons, performance is only reported for groups with 10 or more students.										

2010 CONSORTIUM District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency											
System Code	System Name#	Consortium Membership	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Composite PL of at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2010 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 6%)	2010 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 6%?	Second Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Percentage (Target=Statewide Percentage, 16.6%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criterion Greater than 16.6?*	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Determinations**
111	Consortium	Consortium	1,849	301	16.3	Yes	1,398	239	17.1	Yes	Met
604	Baker County	Consortium	15	1	6.7	Yes	15	0	0.0	No	Met
605	Baldwin County	Consortium	47	12	25.5	Yes	31	3	9.7	No	Met
610	Berrien County	Consortium	52	2	3.8	No	40	11	27.5	Yes	Met
612	Bleckley County	Consortium	16	2	12.5	Yes	16	0	0.0	No	Met
613	Brantley County	Consortium	21	7	33.3	Yes	15	1	6.7	No	Met
614	Brooks County	Consortium	48	6	12.5	Yes	33	8	24.2	Yes	Met
617	Burke County	Consortium	20	6	30.0	Yes	10	2	20.0	Yes	Met
618	Butts County	Consortium	16	3	18.8	Yes	10	0	0.0	No	Met
619	Calhoun County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	7	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
620	Camden County	Consortium	52	10	19.2	Yes	29	5	17.2	Yes	Met
621	Candler County	Consortium	45	1	2.2	No	46	20	43.5	Yes	Met
623	Catoosa County	Consortium	56	13	23.2	Yes	53	13	24.5	Yes	Met
624	Charlton County	Consortium	6	--	--	--	2	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
626	Chattahoochee County	Consortium	19	4	21.1	Yes	9	--	--	--	Met
627	Chattooga County	Consortium	36	9	25.0	Yes	25	1	4.0	No	Met
632	Clinch County	Consortium	9	--	--	--	8	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
640	Crisp County	Consortium	43	3	7.0	Yes	35	8	22.9	Yes	Met
641	Dade County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	6	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
645	Dodge County	Consortium	51	6	11.8	Yes	36	3	8.3	No	Met
646	Dooly County	Consortium	30	1	3.3	No	30	2	6.7	No	Did Not Meet
649	Early County	Consortium	24	7	29.2	Yes	18	0	0.0	No	Met
650	Echols County	Consortium	51	1	2.0	No	25	11	44.0	Yes	Met
655	Fannin County	Consortium	19	5	26.3	Yes	14	2	14.3	No	Met
671	Haralson County	Consortium	26	9	34.6	Yes	14	2	14.3	No	Met
672	Harris County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	8	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
677	Irwin County	Consortium	8	--	--	--	8	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
679	Jasper County	Consortium	43	7	16.3	Yes	33	0	0.0	No	Met
681	Jefferson County	Consortium	29	3	10.3	Yes	21	7	33.3	Yes	Met
682	Jenkins County	Consortium	24	2	8.3	Yes	21	0	0.0	No	Met
683	Johnson County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	3	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
684	Jones County	Consortium	15	2	13.3	Yes	4	--	--	--	Met
685	Lamar County	Consortium	11	2	18.2	Yes	7	--	--	--	Met
686	Lanier County	Consortium	27	2	7.4	Yes	20	3	15.0	No	Met

System Code	System Name#	Consortium Membership	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Composite PL of at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2010 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 6%)	2010 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 6%?	Second Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Percentage (Target=Statewide Percentage, 16.6%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criterion Greater than 16.6?*	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Determinations**
687	Laurens County	Consortium	22	4	18.2	Yes	27	13	48.1	Yes	Met
690	Lincoln County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	0	0	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
694	Macon County	Consortium	34	6	17.6	Yes	20	2	10.0	No	Met
696	Marion County	Consortium	38	9	23.7	Yes	27	3	11.1	No	Met
697	McDuffie County	Consortium	46	11	23.9	Yes	36	6	16.7	Yes	Met
698	McIntosh County	Consortium	13	6	46.2	Yes	10	0	0.0	No	Met
699	Meriwether County	Consortium	8	--	--	--	16	3	18.8	Yes	Too Few in Subgroup
700	Miller County	Consortium	10	2	20.0	Yes	8	--	--	--	Met
701	Mitchell County	Consortium	21	1	4.8	No	20	0	0.0	No	Did Not Meet
702	Monroe County	Consortium	29	4	13.8	Yes	11	0	0.0	No	Met
703	Montgomery County	Consortium	22	5	22.7	Yes	22	1	4.5	No	Met
712	Pickens County	Consortium	41	12	29.3	Yes	40	9	22.5	Yes	Met
716	Pulaski County	Consortium	15	2	13.3	Yes	12	2	16.7	Yes	Met
720	Randolph County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
723	Schley County	Consortium	9	--	--	--	3	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
725	Seminole County	Consortium	3	--	--	--	2	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
727	Stephens County	Consortium	44	7	15.9	Yes	22	2	9.1	No	Met
734	Telfair County	Consortium	25	5	20.0	Yes	19	4	21.1	Yes	Met
735	Terrell County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	1	--	--	Yes	Too Few in Subgroup
736	Thomas County	Consortium	41	5	12.2	Yes	38	13	34.2	Yes	Met
739	Towns County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	4	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
740	Treutlen County	Consortium	2	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
742	Turner County	Consortium	9	--	--	--	12	0	0.0	No	Too Few in Subgroup
744	Union County	Consortium	36	6	16.7	Yes	36	6	16.7	Yes	Met
745	Thomaston-Upson County	Consortium	36	2	5.6	No	26	5	19.2	Yes	Met
746	Walker County	Consortium	32	7	21.9	Yes	26	8	30.8	Yes	Met
750	Washington County	Consortium	20	1	5.0	No	19	2	10.5	No	Did Not Meet
752	Webster County	Consortium	13	5	38.5	Yes	0	0	--	--	Met
753	Wheeler County	Consortium	15	1	6.7	Yes	15	0	0.0	No	Met
754	White County	Consortium	41	6	14.6	Yes	37	4	10.8	No	Met
757	Wilkes County	Consortium	19	2	10.5	Yes	24	8	33.3	Yes	Met
758	Wilkinson County	Consortium	24	1	4.2	No	17	0	0.0	No	Did Not Meet
759	Worth County	Consortium	10	1	10.0	Yes	9	--	--	--	Met
763	Bremen City	Consortium	7	--	--	--	9	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup
769	Chickamauga City	Consortium	3	--	--	--	2	--	--	--	Too Few in Subgroup

System Code	System Name#	Consortium Membership	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Composite PL of at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2010 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 6%)	2010 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 6%?	Second Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2009 and as ELL=M in 2010	Second Look Percentage (Target=Statewide Percentage, 16.6%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criterion Greater than 16.6?*	Final 2010 AMAO 2 Determinations**
771	Commerce City	Consortium	48	8	16.7	Yes	40	8	20.0	Yes	Met
773	Decatur City	Consortium	41	5	12.2	Yes	39	9	23.1	Yes	Met
774	Dublin City	Consortium	18	1	5.6	No	10	0	0.0	No	Did Not Meet
784	Pelham City	Consortium	13	2	15.4	Yes	10	0	0.0	No	Met
786	Social Circle City	Consortium	10	1	10.0	Yes	7	--	--	--	Met
789	Thomasville City	Consortium	14	5	35.7	Yes	11	0	0.0	No	Met
791	Trion City	Consortium	49	13	26.5	Yes	47	9	19.1	Yes	Met
793	Vidalia City	Consortium	24	1	4.2	No	10	2	20.0	Yes	Met
7820108	Mountain Education Center	Consortium	23	2	8.7	Yes	0	0	--	--	Met
7830110	Ivy Preparatory Academy	Consortium	24	5	20.8	Yes	0	0	--	--	Met
# To be included in this table, a district must have at least 1 ELL student with an ACCESS test record.											
* Proficiency 2nd Look applies only when a district has 10 or more ELL ACCESS Test Takers and it did not make the Proficiency target (6%).											
** All districts in the Consortium met the AMAO 2 target because the consortium met the target. The other data displayed in this table can be used for informational purposes.											
For privacy and reliability reasons, performance is only reported for groups with 10 or more students.											