

Summary of 2009 Title III AMAO Determinations

<b>System Code</b>	<b>System Name #</b>	<b>Final 2009 AMAO 1 Progress Determination</b>	<b>Final 2009 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination</b>	<b>Final AMAO 3 Determination</b>	<b>FINAL AMAO Determination</b>
601	Appling County	Met	Met	Met	MET
602	Atkinson County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
606	Banks County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
607	Barrow County	Met	Met	Met	MET
608	Bartow County	Met	Met	Met	MET
609	Ben Hill County	Met	Met	Met	MET
611	Bibb County	Met	Met	Met	MET
616	Bulloch County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
621	Candler County	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet	Too Few in Subgroup	DID NOT MEET
622	Carroll County	Met	Met	Met	MET
623	Catoosa County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
625	Chatham County	Met	Met	Met	MET
628	Cherokee County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
629	Clarke County	Met	Met	Met	MET
631	Clayton County	Met	Met	Met	MET
633	Cobb County	Met	Met	Met	MET
634	Coffee County	Met	Met	Met	MET
635	Colquitt County	Met	Met	Met	MET
636	Columbia County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
637	Cook County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
638	Coweta County	Met	Met	Met	MET
643	Decatur County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
644	Dekalb County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	DID NOT MEET
647	Dougherty County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
648	Douglas County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	DID NOT MEET
651	Effingham County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
652	Elbert County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
653	Emanuel County	Met	Did Not Meet	Too Few in Subgroup	DID NOT MEET
654	Evans County	Met	Did Not Meet	Too Few in Subgroup	DID NOT MEET
656	Fayette County	Met	Met	Met	MET
657	Floyd County	Met	Met	Met	MET
658	Forsyth County	Met	Met	Met	MET
659	Franklin County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
660	Fulton County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	DID NOT MEET

Summary of 2009 Title III AMAO Determinations

<b>System Code</b>	<b>System Name #</b>	<b>Final 2009 AMAO 1 Progress Determination</b>	<b>Final 2009 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination</b>	<b>Final AMAO 3 Determination</b>	<b>FINAL AMAO Determination</b>
661	Gilmer County	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	DID NOT MEET
663	Glynn County	Met	Met	Met	MET
664	Gordon County	Met	Met	Met	MET
665	Grady County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
666	Greene County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
667	Gwinnett County	Met	Met	Met	MET
668	Habersham County	Met	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet	DID NOT MEET
669	Hall County	Met	Met	Met	MET
673	Hart County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
675	Henry County	Met	Met	Met	MET
676	Houston County	Met	Met	Met	MET
678	Jackson County	Met	Met	Met	MET
680	Jeff Davis County	Met	Met	Met	MET
688	Lee County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
689	Liberty County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
691	Long County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
692	Lowndes County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
693	Lumpkin County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
695	Madison County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
705	Murray County	Met	Met	Met	MET
706	Muscogee County	Met	Met	Met	MET
707	Newton County	Met	Met	Met	MET
708	Oconee County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
710	Paulding County	Met	Met	Met	MET
711	Peach County	Met	Did Not Meet	Met	DID NOT MEET
713	Pierce County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
715	Polk County	Met	Met	Met	MET
717	Putnam County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
719	Rabun County	Met	Met	Met	MET
721	Richmond County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
722	Rockdale County	Met	Met	Met	MET
726	Spalding County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
729	Sumter County	Met	Met	Met	MET
732	Tattnall County	Met	Did Not Meet	Too Few in Subgroup	DID NOT MEET

Summary of 2009 Title III AMAO Determinations

<b>System Code</b>	<b>System Name #</b>	<b>Final 2009 AMAO 1 Progress Determination</b>	<b>Final 2009 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination</b>	<b>Final AMAO 3 Determination</b>	<b>FINAL AMAO Determination</b>
737	Tift County	Met	Met	Met	MET
738	Toombs County	Met	Met	Met	MET
741	Troup County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
747	Walton County	Met	Met	Met	MET
748	Ware County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
751	Wayne County	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
755	Whitfield County	Met	Met	Met	MET
761	Atlanta City	Met	Met	Met	MET
764	Buford City	Met	Met	Met	MET
765	Calhoun City	Met	Met	Met	MET
766	Carrollton City	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
767	Cartersville City	Met	Met	Met	MET
768	Ivy Prep	Met	Met	Too Few in Subgroup	MET
772	Dalton City	Met	Met	Met	MET
776	Gainesville City	Met	Met	Met	MET
781	Marietta City	Met	Met	Met	MET
785	Rome City	Met	Met	Met	MET
792	Valdosta City	Met	Did Not Meet	Too Few in Subgroup	DID NOT MEET
111	Consortium	Met	Met	N/A	MET
999	State of Georgia	Met	Met	Did Not Meet	DID NOT MEET

2009 District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress

System Code	System Name #	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2009 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=48%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 48%?	Final 2009 AMAO 1 Progress Determination
601	Appling County	84	72	85.71%	Yes	Met
602	Atkinson County	68	44	64.71%	Yes	Met
606	Banks County	58	41	70.69%	Yes	Met
607	Barrow County	577	365	63.26%	Yes	Met
608	Bartow County	397	280	70.53%	Yes	Met
609	Ben Hill County	87	68	78.16%	Yes	Met
611	Bibb County	152	101	66.45%	Yes	Met
616	Bulloch County	94	62	65.96%	Yes	Met
621	Candler County	32	13	40.63%	No	Did Not Meet
622	Carroll County	188	130	69.15%	Yes	Met
623	Catoosa County	32	29	90.63%	Yes	Met
625	Chatham County	264	203	76.89%	Yes	Met
628	Cherokee County	729	556	76.27%	Yes	Met
629	Clarke County	739	521	70.50%	Yes	Met
631	Clayton County	2112	1412	66.86%	Yes	Met
633	Cobb County	5095	3502	68.73%	Yes	Met
634	Coffee County	184	126	68.48%	Yes	Met
635	Colquitt County	422	270	63.98%	Yes	Met
636	Columbia County	120	91	75.83%	Yes	Met
637	Cook County	63	39	61.90%	Yes	Met
638	Coweta County	270	194	71.85%	Yes	Met
643	Decatur County	85	68	80.00%	Yes	Met
644	Dekalb County	3755	2320	61.78%	Yes	Met
647	Dougherty County	53	42	79.25%	Yes	Met
648	Douglas County	510	348	68.24%	Yes	Met
651	Effingham County	31	15	48.39%	Yes	Met
652	Elbert County	57	41	71.93%	Yes	Met
653	Emanuel County	37	22	59.46%	Yes	Met
654	Evans County	85	54	63.53%	Yes	Met

2009 District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress

System Code	System Name #	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2009 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=48%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 48%?	Final 2009 AMAO 1 Progress Determination
656	Fayette County	268	189	70.52%	Yes	Met
657	Floyd County	158	135	85.44%	Yes	Met
658	Forsyth County	914	711	77.79%	Yes	Met
659	Franklin County	45	31	68.89%	Yes	Met
660	Fulton County	2269	1684	74.22%	Yes	Met
661	Gilmer County	198	121	61.11%	Yes	Met
663	Glynn County	294	183	62.24%	Yes	Met
664	Gordon County	194	130	67.01%	Yes	Met
665	Grady County	128	93	72.66%	Yes	Met
666	Greene County	59	33	55.93%	Yes	Met
667	Gwinnett County	12430	9095	73.17%	Yes	Met
668	Habersham County	246	141	57.32%	Yes	Met
669	Hall County	2962	1806	60.97%	Yes	Met
673	Hart County	47	35	74.47%	Yes	Met
675	Henry County	462	339	73.38%	Yes	Met
676	Houston County	398	313	78.64%	Yes	Met
678	Jackson County	182	129	70.88%	Yes	Met
680	Jeff Davis County	142	94	66.20%	Yes	Met
688	Lee County	36	30	83.33%	Yes	Met
689	Liberty County	32	23	71.88%	Yes	Met
691	Long County	35	24	68.57%	Yes	Met
692	Lowndes County	90	73	81.11%	Yes	Met
693	Lumpkin County	40	25	62.50%	Yes	Met
695	Madison County	41	35	85.37%	Yes	Met
705	Murray County	242	152	62.81%	Yes	Met
706	Muscogee County	228	154	67.54%	Yes	Met
707	Newton County	241	165	68.46%	Yes	Met
708	Oconee County	75	45	60.00%	Yes	Met
710	Paulding County	210	153	72.86%	Yes	Met

2009 District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress

System Code	System Name #	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2009 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=48%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 48%?	Final 2009 AMAO 1 Progress Determination
711	Peach County	90	45	50.00%	Yes	Met
713	Pierce County	57	39	68.42%	Yes	Met
715	Polk County	272	209	76.84%	Yes	Met
717	Putnam County	36	33	91.67%	Yes	Met
719	Rabun County	67	47	70.15%	Yes	Met
721	Richmond County	33	24	72.73%	Yes	Met
722	Rockdale County	356	256	71.91%	Yes	Met
726	Spalding County	59	43	72.88%	Yes	Met
729	Sumter County	90	65	72.22%	Yes	Met
732	Tattnall County	100	62	62.00%	Yes	Met
737	Tift County	315	218	69.21%	Yes	Met
738	Toombs County	135	99	73.33%	Yes	Met
741	Troup County	49	34	69.39%	Yes	Met
747	Walton County	73	58	79.45%	Yes	Met
748	Ware County	35	19	54.29%	Yes	Met
751	Wayne County	61	34	55.74%	Yes	Met
755	Whitfield County	1219	820	67.27%	Yes	Met
761	Atlanta City	693	483	69.70%	Yes	Met
764	Buford City	156	123	78.85%	Yes	Met
765	Calhoun City	219	146	66.67%	Yes	Met
766	Carrollton City	82	59	71.95%	Yes	Met
767	Cartersville City	196	155	79.08%	Yes	Met
768	Ivy Prep	1	--	--	Too Few to Report	Met
772	Dalton City	700	508	72.57%	Yes	Met
776	Gainesville City	1094	736	67.28%	Yes	Met
781	Marietta City	594	438	73.74%	Yes	Met
785	Rome City	305	231	75.74%	Yes	Met
792	Valdosta City	40	25	62.50%	Yes	Met
111	Consortium	1037	688	66.35%	Yes	Met

2009 District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress

System Code	System Name #	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2009 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=48%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 48%?	Final 2009 AMAO 1 Progress Determination
999	State of Georgia	47210	32867	69.62%	Yes	Met

NOTE: For privacy and reliability reasons, only performance for districts with 10 or more students is shown. Otherwise, "\_" is shown in columns 4 and 5 and "Too Few to Report" is shown in column 6; thus, the final decision is "met."

2009 Consortium District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress

System Code	System Name <sup>1</sup>		Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2009 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=48%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 48%?	Final 2009 AMAO 1 Progress Determination (Based on Performance of Consortium as Whole)
603	Bacon County	Consortium	20	14	70.00%	Yes	Met*
604	Baker County	Consortium	16	12	75.00%	Yes	Met*
605	Baldwin County	Consortium	26	17	65.38%	Yes	Met*
610	Berrien County	Consortium	35	22	62.86%	Yes	Met*
612	Bleckley County	Consortium	15	9	60.00%	Yes	Met*
613	Brantley County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	Met*
614	Brooks County	Consortium	26	16	61.54%	Yes	Met*
617	Burke County	Consortium	9	--	--	--	Met*
618	Butts County	Consortium	12	9	75.00%	Yes	Met*
619	Calhoun County	Consortium	2	--	--	--	Met*
620	Camden County	Consortium	29	18	62.07%	Yes	Met*
624	Charlton County	Consortium	2	--	--	--	Met*
626	Chattahoochee County	Consortium	3	--	--	--	Met*
627	Chattooga County	Consortium	22	8	36.36%	No	Met*
632	Clinch County	Consortium	4	--	--	--	Met*
640	Crisp County	Consortium	19	15	78.95%	Yes	Met*
641	Dade County	Consortium	5	--	--	--	Met*
642	Dawson County	Consortium	32	24	75.00%	Yes	Met*
645	Dodge County	Consortium	15	13	86.67%	Yes	Met*
646	Dooly County	Consortium	16	8	50.00%	Yes	Met*
649	Early County	Consortium	18	11	61.11%	Yes	Met*
650	Echols County	Consortium	22	17	77.27%	Yes	Met*
655	Fannin County	Consortium	5	--	--	--	Met*
671	Haralson County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	Met*
672	Harris County	Consortium	3	--	--	--	Met*
677	Irwin County	Consortium	10	6	60.00%	Yes	Met*
679	Jasper County	Consortium	16	10	62.50%	Yes	Met*
681	Jefferson County	Consortium	18	12	66.67%	Yes	Met*
682	Jenkins County	Consortium	21	18	85.71%	Yes	Met*
683	Johnson County	Consortium	3	--	--	--	Met*
684	Jones County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Met*



2009 Consortium District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress

System Code	System Name <sup>1</sup>		Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2009 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=48%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 48%?	Final 2009 AMAO 1 Progress Determination (Based on Performance of Consortium as Whole)
685	Lamar County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	Met*
686	Lanier County	Consortium	6	--	--	--	Met*
687	Laurens County	Consortium	21	17	80.95%	Yes	Met*
690	Lincoln County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Met*
696	Marion County	Consortium	16	13	81.25%	Yes	Met*
697	McDuffie County	Consortium	13	13	100.00%	Yes	Met*
698	McIntosh County	Consortium	5	--	--	--	Met*
699	Meriwether County	Consortium	3	--	--	--	Met*
700	Miller County	Consortium	5	--	--	--	Met*
701	Mitchell County	Consortium	17	6	35.29%	No	Met*
702	Monroe County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	Met*
703	Montgomery County	Consortium	20	10	50.00%	Yes	Met*
704	Morgan County	Consortium	15	7	46.67%	No	Met*
709	Oglethorpe County	Consortium	30	20	66.67%	Yes	Met*
712	Pickens County	Consortium	32	27	84.38%	Yes	Met*
716	Pulaski County	Consortium	18	5	27.78%	No	Met*
720	Randolph County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Met*
723	Schley County	Consortium	2	--	--	--	Met*
725	Seminole County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Met*
727	Stephens County	Consortium	16	11	68.75%	Yes	Met*
734	Telfair County	Consortium	14	7	50.00%	Yes	Met*
735	Terrell County	Consortium	3	--	--	--	Met*
736	Thomas County	Consortium	26	24	92.31%	Yes	Met*
739	Towns County	Consortium	5	--	--	--	Met*
740	Treutlen County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Met*
742	Turner County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	Met*
744	Union County	Consortium	34	17	50.00%	Yes	Met*
745	Thomaston-Upson County	Consortium	17	7	41.18%	No	Met*
746	Walker County	Consortium	19	14	73.68%	Yes	Met*
750	Washington County	Consortium	11	4	36.36%	No	Met*
753	Wheeler County	Consortium	11	11	100.00%	Yes	Met*

2009 Consortium District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress

System Code	System Name <sup>1</sup>		Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record	Number of 2009 ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2008 ACCESS Record Who Made Progress	AMAO-1: 2009 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=48%)	Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 48%?	Final 2009 AMAO 1 Progress Determination (Based on Performance of Consortium as Whole)
754	White County	Consortium	22	12	54.55%	Yes	Met*
757	Wilkes County	Consortium	12	10	83.33%	Yes	Met*
758	Wilkinson County	Consortium	9	--	--	--	Met*
759	Worth County	Consortium	4	--	--	--	Met*
763	Bremen City	Consortium	6	--	--	--	Met*
769	Chickamauga City	Consortium	1	--	--	--	Met*
770	Scholars Academy	Consortium	3	--	--	--	Met*
771	Commerce City	Consortium	35	21	60.00%	Yes	Met*
773	Decatur City	Consortium	25	20	80.00%	Yes	Met*
774	Dublin City	Consortium	10	5	50.00%	Yes	Met*
779	Jefferson City	Consortium	23	18	78.26%	Yes	Met*
784	Pelham City	Consortium	2	--	--	--	Met*
786	Social Circle City	Consortium	9	--	--	--	Met*
789	Thomasville City	Consortium	8	--	--	--	Met*
791	Trion City	Consortium	28	21	75.00%	Yes	Met*
793	Vidalia City	Consortium	7	--	--	--	Met*
798	Mountain Education	Consortium	10	7	70.00%	Yes	Met*
111	Consortium	Consortium	1037	688	66.35%	Yes	Met

NOTES:

<sup>1</sup> To be included in this table, a consortium district must have at least 1 ELL student with an ACCESS test record.

-- For privacy and reliability reasons, only performance for districts with 10 or more students is shown. Otherwise, "--" is shown.

\* All Consortium members met progress determination because the consortium as a whole met progress determination.

2009 District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency

System Code	System Name#	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2009 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5.5%)	2009 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5.5%?	2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2009	2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as ELL=M in 2009	AMAO-2: 2008 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = State Performance 18.3%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 18.3?	Final 2009 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination
601	Appling County	116	29	25.00%	Yes	96	18	18.75%	Yes	Met
602	Atkinson County	84	5	5.95%	Yes	73	14	19.18%	Yes	Met
606	Banks County	75	16	21.33%	Yes	73	19	26.03%	Yes	Met
607	Barrow County	794	82	10.33%	Yes	686	122	17.78%	No	Met
608	Bartow County	583	97	16.64%	Yes	464	96	20.69%	Yes	Met
609	Ben Hill County	126	18	14.29%	Yes	109	21	19.27%	Yes	Met
611	Bibb County	270	35	12.96%	Yes	194	49	25.26%	Yes	Met
616	Bulloch County	171	11	6.43%	Yes	93	3	3.23%	No	Met
621	Candler County	44	0	0.00%	No	62	6	9.68%	No	Did Not Meet
622	Carroll County	263	38	14.45%	Yes	189	28	14.81%	No	Met
623	Catoosa County	65	8	12.31%	Yes	49	17	34.69%	Yes	Met
625	Chatham County	471	56	11.89%	Yes	358	60	16.76%	No	Met
628	Cherokee County	1,118	141	12.61%	Yes	936	235	25.11%	Yes	Met
629	Clarke County	1,124	130	11.57%	Yes	872	170	19.50%	Yes	Met
631	Clayton County	3,188	363	11.39%	Yes	2,513	509	20.25%	Yes	Met
633	Cobb County	7,492	1,034	13.80%	Yes	6,187	1,172	18.94%	Yes	Met
634	Coffee County	292	34	11.64%	Yes	233	43	18.45%	Yes	Met
635	Colquitt County	612	39	6.37%	Yes	529	112	21.17%	Yes	Met
636	Columbia County	228	37	16.23%	Yes	128	24	18.75%	Yes	Met
637	Cook County	90	8	8.89%	Yes	67	5	7.46%	No	Met
638	Coweta County	450	68	15.11%	Yes	291	65	22.34%	Yes	Met
643	Decatur County	147	32	21.77%	Yes	105	13	12.38%	No	Met
644	Dekalb County	6,692	530	7.92%	Yes	4,424	534	12.07%	No	Met
647	Dougherty County	92	11	11.96%	Yes	70	0	0.00%	No	Met
648	Douglas County	770	77	10.00%	Yes	564	122	21.63%	Yes	Met
651	Effingham County	60	8	13.33%	Yes	38	8	21.05%	Yes	Met
652	Elbert County	86	10	11.63%	Yes	58	5	8.62%	No	Met
653	Emanuel County	62	3	4.84%	No	47	0	0.00%	No	Did Not Meet
654	Evans County	142	5	3.52%	No	93	1	1.08%	No	Did Not Meet
656	Fayette County	411	82	19.95%	Yes	340	77	22.65%	Yes	Met
657	Floyd County	245	43	17.55%	Yes	213	40	18.78%	Yes	Met

2009 District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency

System Code	System Name#	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2009 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5.5%)	2009 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5.5%?	2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2009	2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as ELL=M in 2009	AMAO-2: 2008 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = State Performance 18.3%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 18.3?	Final 2009 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination
658	Forsyth County	1,369	258	18.85%	Yes	1,115	249	22.33%	Yes	Met
659	Franklin County	70	8	11.43%	Yes	56	2	3.57%	No	Met
660	Fulton County	3,995	643	16.10%	Yes	3,154	871	27.62%	Yes	Met
661	Gilmer County	293	24	8.19%	Yes	239	39	16.32%	No	Met
663	Glynn County	451	48	10.64%	Yes	314	51	16.24%	No	Met
664	Gordon County	305	42	13.77%	Yes	246	58	23.58%	Yes	Met
665	Grady County	196	35	17.86%	Yes	136	20	14.71%	No	Met
666	Greene County	81	10	12.35%	Yes	59	2	3.39%	No	Met
667	Gwinnett County	18,762	3,383	18.03%	Yes	14,790	2,677	18.10%	No	Met
668	Habersham County	393	16	4.07%	No	305	29	9.51%	No	Did Not Meet
669	Hall County	4,056	437	10.77%	Yes	3,168	402	12.69%	No	Met
673	Hart County	69	22	31.88%	Yes	47	1	2.13%	No	Met
675	Henry County	761	136	17.87%	Yes	620	171	27.58%	Yes	Met
676	Houston County	636	111	17.45%	Yes	446	75	16.82%	No	Met
678	Jackson County	243	30	12.35%	Yes	191	16	8.38%	No	Met
680	Jeff Davis County	190	23	12.11%	Yes	154	14	9.09%	No	Met
688	Lee County	58	19	32.76%	Yes	49	8	16.33%	No	Met
689	Liberty County	56	6	10.71%	Yes	49	13	26.53%	Yes	Met
691	Long County	66	12	18.18%	Yes	39	0	0.00%	No	Met
692	Lowndes County	178	50	28.09%	Yes	126	26	20.63%	Yes	Met
693	Lumpkin County	59	3	5.08%	No	55	20	36.36%	Yes	Met
695	Madison County	62	3	4.84%	No	49	14	28.57%	Yes	Met
705	Murray County	374	36	9.63%	Yes	426	131	30.75%	Yes	Met
706	Muscogee County	434	52	11.98%	Yes	305	59	19.34%	Yes	Met
707	Newton County	373	58	15.55%	Yes	292	55	18.84%	Yes	Met
708	Oconee County	126	19	15.08%	Yes	87	16	18.39%	Yes	Met
710	Paulding County	358	55	15.36%	Yes	237	37	15.61%	No	Met
711	Peach County	152	8	5.26%	No	84	10	11.90%	No	Did Not Meet
713	Pierce County	85	16	18.82%	Yes	64	8	12.50%	No	Met
715	Polk County	376	42	11.17%	Yes	334	54	16.17%	No	Met
717	Putnam County	64	19	29.69%	Yes	55	21	38.18%	Yes	Met

2009 District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency

System Code	System Name#	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2009 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5.5%)	2009 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5.5%?	2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2009	2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as ELL=M in 2009	AMAO-2: 2008 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = State Performance 18.3%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 18.3?	Final 2009 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination
719	Rabun County	111	17	15.32%	Yes	85	14	16.47%	No	Met
721	Richmond County	79	15	18.99%	Yes	76	2	2.63%	No	Met
722	Rockdale County	510	57	11.18%	Yes	423	86	20.33%	Yes	Met
726	Spalding County	92	14	15.22%	Yes	72	16	22.22%	Yes	Met
729	Sumter County	127	12	9.45%	Yes	106	10	9.43%	No	Met
732	Tattnall County	153	7	4.58%	No	106	7	6.60%	No	Did Not Meet
737	Tift County	425	55	12.94%	Yes	413	82	19.85%	Yes	Met
738	Toombs County	185	35	18.92%	Yes	151	16	10.60%	No	Met
741	Troup County	113	8	7.08%	Yes	53	1	1.89%	No	Met
747	Walton County	118	28	23.73%	Yes	87	34	39.08%	Yes	Met
748	Walton County	60	5	8.33%	Yes	49	12	24.49%	Yes	Met
751	Wayne County	81	5	6.17%	Yes	67	8	11.94%	No	Met
755	Whitfield County	1,718	198	11.53%	Yes	1,331	229	17.21%	No	Met
761	Atlanta City	1,127	130	11.54%	Yes	893	161	18.03%	No	Met
764	Buford City	265	38	14.34%	Yes	214	70	32.71%	Yes	Met
765	Calhoun City	317	30	9.46%	Yes	271	57	21.03%	Yes	Met
766	Carrollton City	148	26	17.57%	Yes	144	38	26.39%	Yes	Met
767	Cartersville City	285	57	20.00%	Yes	224	33	14.73%	No	Met
768	Ivy Preparatory	14	2	14.29%	Yes	0	--	--	--	Met
772	Dalton City	1,061	91	8.58%	Yes	890	249	27.98%	Yes	Met
776	Gainesville City	1,577	148	9.38%	Yes	1,124	99	8.81%	No	Met
781	Marietta City	923	149	16.14%	Yes	751	196	26.10%	Yes	Met
785	Rome City	430	51	11.86%	Yes	322	40	12.42%	No	Met
792	Valdosta City	79	0	0.00%	No	42	1	2.38%	No	Did Not Meet
111	Consortium	1,752	216	12.34%	Yes	1283	165	12.86%	No	Met
999	State of Georgia	72,804	10,068	13.83%	Yes	56622	10363	18.30%		Met

NOTE: For privacy and reliability reasons, only performance for districts with 10 or more students is shown. Otherwise, "--" is shown.

2009 Consortium District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency

System Code	System Name <sup>1</sup>	Consortium Membership	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2009 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5.5%)	2009 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5.5%?	2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2009	2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as ELL=M in 2009	AMAO-2: 2009 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = 18.3%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 18.3?	Final 2009 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination*
603	Bacon County	Consortium	52	0	0.00%	No	29	3	10.34%	No	Met*
604	Baker County	Consortium	19	2	10.53%	Yes	15	0	0.00%	No	Met*
605	Baldwin County	Consortium	37	2	5.41%	No	25	2	8.00%	No	Met*
610	Berrien County	Consortium	46	6	13.04%	Yes	32	5	15.63%	No	Met*
612	Bleckley County	Consortium	25	0	0.00%	No	22	0	0.00%	No	Met*
613	Brantley County	Consortium	15	1	6.67%	Yes	10	0	0.00%	No	Met*
614	Brooks County	Consortium	36	9	25.00%	Yes	29	8	27.59%	Yes	Met*
617	Burke County	Consortium	16	3	18.75%	Yes	10	0	0.00%	No	Met*
618	Butts County	Consortium	19	3	15.79%	Yes	8	--	--	--	Met*
619	Calhoun County	Consortium	2	--	--	--	4	--	--	--	Met*
620	Camden County	Consortium	38	2	5.26%	No	35	7	20.00%	Yes	Met*
624	Charlton County	Consortium	6	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	Met*
626	Chattahoochee County	Consortium	26	0	0.00%	No	6	0	0.00%	No	Met*
627	Chattooga County	Consortium	28	2	7.14%	Yes	18	0	0.00%	No	Met*
632	Clinch County	Consortium	9	--	--	--	3	--	--	--	Met*
639	Crawford County	Consortium	0	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	Met*
640	Crisp County	Consortium	35	3	8.57%	Yes	27	3	11.11%	No	Met*
641	Dade County	Consortium	--	--	--	--	5	--	--	--	Met*
642	Dawson County	Consortium	59	14	23.73%	Yes	35	2	5.71%	No	Met*
645	Dodge County	Consortium	29	3	10.34%	Yes	16	4	25.00%	Yes	Met*
646	Dooly County	Consortium	29	0	0.00%	No	38	20	52.63%	Yes	Met*
649	Early County	Consortium	22	0	0.00%	No	16	0	0.00%	No	Met*
650	Echols County	Consortium	32	1	3.13%	No	30	8	26.67%	Yes	Met*
655	Fannin County	Consortium	24	3	12.50%	Yes	7	--	--	--	Met*
670	Hancock County	Consortium	0	--	--	--	3	--	--	--	Met*
671	Haralson County	Consortium	15	3	20.00%	Yes	10	2	20.00%	Yes	Met*
672	Harris County	Consortium	8	--	--	--	4	--	--	--	Met*
677	Irwin County	Consortium	12	1	8.33%	Yes	10	3	30.00%	Yes	Met*
679	Jasper County	Consortium	34	0	0.00%	No	24	8	33.33%	Yes	Met*
681	Jefferson County	Consortium	23	7	30.43%	Yes	21	0	0.00%	No	Met*
682	Jenkins County	Consortium	27	0	0.00%	No	24	0	0.00%	No	Met*
683	Johnson County	Consortium	3	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	Met*

2009 Consortium District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency

System Code	System Name <sup>1</sup>	Consortium Membership	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2009 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5.5%)	2009 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5.5%?	2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2009	2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as ELL=M in 2009	AMAO-2: 2009 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = 18.3%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 18.3?	Final 2009 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination*
684	Jones County	Consortium	4	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	Met*
685	Lamar County	Consortium	10	0	0.00%	No	12	5	41.67%	Yes	Met*
686	Lanier County	Consortium	17	0	0.00%	No	3	--	--	--	Met*
687	Laurens County	Consortium	29	10	34.48%	Yes	22	2	9.09%	No	Met*
690	Lincoln County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	Met*
694	Macon County	Consortium	0	--	--	--	24	0	0.00%	No	Met*
696	Marion County	Consortium	37	2	5.41%	No	24	2	8.33%	No	Met*
697	McDuffie County	Consortium	38	19	50.00%	Yes	15	2	13.33%	No	Met*
698	McIntosh County	Consortium	12	1	8.33%	Yes	6	--	--	--	Met*
699	Meriwether County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	14	0	0.00%	No	Met*
700	Miller County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	3	--	--	--	Met*
701	Mitchell County	Consortium	23	2	8.70%	Yes	19	1	5.26%	No	Met*
702	Monroe County	Consortium	13	2	15.38%	Yes	22	13	59.09%	Yes	Met*
703	Montgomery County	Consortium	25	2	8.00%	Yes	19	1	5.26%	No	Met*
704	Morgan County	Consortium	34	4	11.76%	Yes	22	2	9.09%	No	Met*
709	Oglethorpe County	Consortium	68	5	7.35%	Yes	36	5	13.89%	No	Met*
712	Pickens County	Consortium	47	15	31.91%	Yes	36	3	8.33%	No	Met*
716	Pulaski County	Consortium	20	3	15.00%	Yes	16	0	0.00%	No	Met*
720	Randolph County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	Met*
723	Schley County	Consortium	2	--	--	--	3	--	--	--	Met*
725	Seminole County	Consortium	3	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	Met*
727	Stephens County	Consortium	18	1	5.56%	Yes	20	1	5.00%	No	Met*
734	Telfair County	Consortium	22	5	22.73%	Yes	16	2	12.50%	No	Met*
735	Terrell County	Consortium	3	--	--	--	4	--	--	--	Met*
736	Thomas County	Consortium	37	7	18.92%	Yes	32	2	6.25%	No	Met*
739	Towns County	Consortium	5	--	--	--	6	--	--	--	Met*
740	Treutlen County	Consortium	1	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	Met*
742	Turner County	Consortium	7	--	--	--	8	--	--	--	Met*
744	Union County	Consortium	40	6	15.00%	Yes	39	5	12.82%	No	Met*
745	Thomaston-Upson Co	Consortium	26	2	7.69%	Yes	19	3	15.79%	No	Met*
746	Walker County	Consortium	30	5	16.67%	Yes	26	5	19.23%	Yes	Met*
750	Washington County	Consortium	23	2	8.70%	Yes	12	1	8.33%	No	Met*

2009 Consortium District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency

System Code	System Name <sup>1</sup>	Consortium Membership	Number of ELL ACCESS Test Takers	ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C)	AMAO-2: 2009 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5.5%)	2009 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5.5%?	2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2009	2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2008 and as ELL=M in 2009	AMAO-2: 2009 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = 18.3%)	AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 18.3?	Final 2009 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination*
753	Wheeler County	Consortium	15	1	6.67%	Yes	12	1	8.33%	No	Met*
754	White County	Consortium	39	2	5.13%	No	27	7	25.93%	Yes	Met*
756	Wilcox County	Consortium	3	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	Met*
757	Wilkes County	Consortium	25	6	24.00%	Yes	17	4	23.53%	Yes	Met*
758	Wilkinson County	Consortium	16	0	0.00%	No	13	0	0.00%	No	Met*
759	Worth County	Consortium	9	--	--	--	11	3	27.27%	Yes	Met*
763	Bremen City	Consortium	10	1	10.00%	Yes	5	--	--	--	Met*
769	Chickamauga City	Consortium	1	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	Met*
770	Scholars Academy	Consortium	4	--	--	--	0	--	--	--	Met*
771	Commerce City	Consortium	50	6	12.00%	Yes	45	7	15.56%	No	Met*
773	Decatur City	Consortium	33	5	15.15%	Yes	38	0	0.00%	No	Met*
774	Dublin City	Consortium	14	0	0.00%	No	6	--	--	--	Met*
779	Jefferson City	Consortium	53	10	18.87%	Yes	29	5	17.24%	No	Met*
784	Pelham City	Consortium	14	4	28.57%	Yes	9	--	--	--	Met*
786	Social Circle City	Consortium	11	0	0.00%	No	12	3	25.00%	Yes	Met*
789	Thomasville City	Consortium	11	0	0.00%	No	6	--	--	--	Met*
791	Trion City	Consortium	58	10	17.24%	Yes	32	1	3.13%	No	Met*
793	Vidalia City	Consortium	16	2	12.50%	Yes	8	--	--	--	Met*
798	Mountain Education	Consortium	27	0	0.00%	No	12	0	0.00%	No	Met*
111	Consortium	Consortium	1,752	216	12.33%	Yes	1283	165	12.86%	No	Met

NOTES:

<sup>1</sup> To be included in this table, a consortium district must have at least 1 ELL student with an ACCESS test record.

-- For privacy and reliability reasons, only performance for districts with 10 or more students is shown. Otherwise, "--" is shown.

\* All Consortium members met proficiency attainment determination because the consortium as a whole met proficiency attainment determination.



District Summary of 2009 Title III AMAO 3 Determinations

SCHOOL YEAR	SYSTEM NAME <sup>1</sup>	SYSTEM ID	REPORTING CATEGORY CODE	AMAO 3 Determination: Did the ELL Student Group Make Academic Performance in 2009?
2009	Appling County	601	LEP	Met
2009	Atkinson County	602	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Bacon County	603	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Baker County	604	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Baldwin County	605	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Banks County	606	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Barrow County	607	LEP	Met
2009	Bartow County	608	LEP	Met
2009	Ben Hill County	609	LEP	Met
2009	Berrien County	610	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Bibb County	611	LEP	Met
2009	Bleckley County	612	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Brantley County	613	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Brooks County	614	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Bryan County	615	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Bulloch County	616	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Burke County	617	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Butts County	618	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Calhoun County	619	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Camden County	620	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Candler County	621	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Carroll County	622	LEP	Met
2009	Catoosa County	623	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Charlton County	624	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Chatham County	625	LEP	Met
2009	Chattahoochee County	626	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Chattooga County	627	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Cherokee County	628	LEP	Met
2009	Clarke County	629	LEP	Met
2009	Clay County	630	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Clayton County	631	LEP	Met
2009	Clinch County	632	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Cobb County	633	LEP	Met
2009	Coffee County	634	LEP	Met
2009	Colquitt County	635	LEP	Met
2009	Columbia County	636	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup

District Summary of 2009 Title III AMAO 3 Determinations

SCHOOL YEAR	SYSTEM NAME <sup>1</sup>	SYSTEM ID	REPORTING CATEGORY CODE	AMAO 3 Determination: Did the ELL Student Group Make Academic Performance in 2009?
2009	Cook County	637	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Coweta County	638	LEP	Met
2009	Crawford County	639	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Crisp County	640	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Dade County	641	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Dawson County	642	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Decatur County	643	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	DeKalb County	644	LEP	Did Not Meet
2009	Dodge County	645	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Dooly County	646	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Dougherty County	647	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Douglas County	648	LEP	Did Not Meet
2009	Early County	649	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Echols County	650	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Effingham County	651	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Elbert County	652	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Emanuel County	653	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Evans County	654	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Fannin County	655	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Fayette County	656	LEP	Met
2009	Floyd County	657	LEP	Met
2009	Forsyth County	658	LEP	Met
2009	Franklin County	659	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Fulton County	660	LEP	Did Not Meet
2009	Gilmer County	661	LEP	Did Not Meet
2009	GlascocK County	662	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Glynn County	663	LEP	Met
2009	Gordon County	664	LEP	Met
2009	Grady County	665	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Greene County	666	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Gwinnett County	667	LEP	Met
2009	Habersham County	668	LEP	Did Not Meet
2009	Hall County	669	LEP	Met
2009	Hancock County	670	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Haralson County	671	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Harris County	672	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup

District Summary of 2009 Title III AMAO 3 Determinations

SCHOOL YEAR	SYSTEM NAME <sup>1</sup>	SYSTEM ID	REPORTING CATEGORY CODE	AMAO 3 Determination: Did the ELL Student Group Make Academic Performance in 2009?
2009	Hart County	673	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Heard County	674	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Henry County	675	LEP	Met
2009	Houston County	676	LEP	Met
2009	Irwin County	677	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Jackson County	678	LEP	Met
2009	Jasper County	679	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Jeff Davis County	680	LEP	Met
2009	Jefferson County	681	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Jenkins County	682	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Johnson County	683	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Jones County	684	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Lamar County	685	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Lanier County	686	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Laurens County	687	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Lee County	688	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Liberty County	689	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Lincoln County	690	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Long County	691	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Lowndes County	692	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Lumpkin County	693	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Macon County	694	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Madison County	695	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Marion County	696	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	McDuffie County	697	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	McIntosh County	698	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Meriwether County	699	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Miller County	700	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Mitchell County	701	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Monroe County	702	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Montgomery County	703	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Morgan County	704	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Murray County	705	LEP	Met
2009	Muscogee County	706	LEP	Met
2009	Newton County	707	LEP	Met
2009	Oconee County	708	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup

District Summary of 2009 Title III AMAO 3 Determinations

SCHOOL YEAR	SYSTEM NAME <sup>1</sup>	SYSTEM ID	REPORTING CATEGORY CODE	AMAO 3 Determination: Did the ELL Student Group Make Academic Performance in 2009?
2009	Oglethorpe County	709	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Paulding County	710	LEP	Met
2009	Peach County	711	LEP	Met
2009	Pickens County	712	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Pierce County	713	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Pike County	714	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Polk County	715	LEP	Met
2009	Pulaski County	716	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Putnam County	717	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Quitman County	718	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Rabun County	719	LEP	Met
2009	Randolph County	720	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Richmond County	721	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Rockdale County	722	LEP	Met
2009	Schley County	723	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Screven County	724	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Seminole County	725	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Spalding County	726	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Stephens County	727	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Stewart County	728	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Sumter County	729	LEP	Met
2009	Talbot County	730	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Taliaferro County	731	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Tattnall County	732	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Taylor County	733	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Telfair County	734	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Terrell County	735	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Thomas County	736	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Tift County	737	LEP	Met
2009	Toombs County	738	LEP	Met
2009	Towns County	739	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Treutlen County	740	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Troup County	741	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Turner County	742	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Twiggs County	743	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Union County	744	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup

District Summary of 2009 Title III AMAO 3 Determinations

SCHOOL YEAR	SYSTEM NAME <sup>1</sup>	SYSTEM ID	REPORTING CATEGORY CODE	AMAO 3 Determination: Did the ELL Student Group Make Academic Performance in 2009?
2009	Thomaston-Upson County	745	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Walker County	746	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Walton County	747	LEP	Met
2009	Ware County	748	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Warren County	749	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Washington County	750	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Wayne County	751	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Webster County	752	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Wheeler County	753	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	White County	754	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Whitfield County	755	LEP	Met
2009	Wilcox County	756	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Wilkes County	757	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Wilkinson County	758	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Worth County	759	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Atlanta Public Schools	761	LEP	Met
2009	Bremen City	763	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Buford City	764	LEP	Met
2009	Calhoun City	765	LEP	Met
2009	Carrollton City	766	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Cartersville City	767	LEP	Met
2009	Ivy Prep	768	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Chickamauga City	769	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Scholars Academy	770	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Commerce City	771	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Dalton City	772	LEP	Met
2009	Decatur City	773	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Dublin City	774	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Gainesville City	776	LEP	Met
2009	Jefferson City	779	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Marietta City	781	LEP	Met
2009	Pelham City	784	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Rome City	785	LEP	Met
2009	Social Circle City	786	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Thomasville City	789	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Trion City	791	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup

District Summary of 2009 Title III AMAO 3 Determinations

SCHOOL YEAR	SYSTEM NAME <sup>1</sup>	SYSTEM ID	REPORTING CATEGORY CODE	AMAO 3 Determination: Did the ELL Student Group Make Academic Performance in 2009?
2009	Valdosta City	792	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Vidalia City	793	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	CCAT	795	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Odyssey	796	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	KidsPeace	797	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Mountain Education Center	798	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	State Schools	799	LEP	Too Few in Subgroup
2009	Consortium	111	N/A	N/A
2009	State of Georgia	999	LEP	Did Not Meet

NOTE:

<sup>1</sup> This table shows all districts in the state and their independent AYP determinations. However, any district belonging to the Consortium will have a FINAL AMAO determination congruent with the determination of the Consortium for AMAO 1 and AMAO 2. Some districts with too few ELL students to make a determination on any AMAO have no determination reported.