# Testing Brief 

## End-of-Course Tests

Spring 2009
April 27, 2009 - June 5, 2009

Georgia law (O.C.G.A. §20-2-281) states "the State Board of Education shall adopt end-ofcourse assessments for students in grades 9 through 12 for all core subjects to be determined by the state board." The purposes of the End-of-Course Tests are to assess student achievement in either the Georgia Performance Standards (GPS) or the Quality Core Curriculum (QCC) in the designated core courses and to provide diagnostic data to improve student achievement. Definitions for student achievement as defined by Georgia teachers at the Meets Standard performance level and the Exceeds Standard performance level are outlined in the EOCT Interpretive Guide and on the Individual Student Report for each content area.

The EOCT assess only a sample of the knowledge and skills that educators agree comprise a complete curriculum for each subject. Georgia students have opportunities to learn - and are expected to master - much more than the tests address. The Georgia Performance Standards are the source of the knowledge and skills assessed on the End-of-Course Tests in the content areas of English language arts, Science and Social Studies. As the high school mathematics curriculum transitions to GPS, the Algebra I and Geometry courses and EOCT are being replaced by Mathematics I and Mathematics II.

Administration of the End-of-Course Tests supports goal 1 of the Superintendent's Strategic Plan: Increase high school graduation rate, decrease high-school dropout rate, and increase postsecondary enrollment rate. This is achieved through providing an effective assessment system that is aligned with the Georgia Performance Standards and designed to improve student achievement.

## Key Findings - LAnguAge Arts

NINTH GRADE LITERATURE AND COMPOSITION
o Seventy-six (76) percent of Georgia’s ninth grade students met or exceeded the standard for Ninth Grade Literature and Composition.
o When comparing the Spring 2009 scores to the Spring 2008 scores, the percent of students meeting or exceeding the standard increased by three (3) percentage points in Ninth Grade Literature and Composition.
o The percent of students achieving the Exceeds standard performance level in Ninth Grade Literature and Composition increased by nine (9) percentage points from Spring 2008 to Spring 2009
o The 2009 Strategic Plan target for the percentage of students meeting and exceeding the Ninth Grade Literature and Composition standard on the EOCT was $74 \%$. This target was exceeded.

## American Literature and Composition

o Eighty-six (86) percent of Georgia’s students met or exceeded the standard for American Literature and Composition.
o When comparing the Spring 2009 scores to Spring 2008, the percent of students meeting or exceeding the standard increased by two (2) percentage points in American Literature and Composition.
o The percent of students achieving the Exceeds standard performance level in American Literature remained the same from Spring 2008 to Spring 2009.
o The 2009 Strategic Plan target for the percentage of students meeting and exceeding the American Literature and Composition standard was $85 \%$. This target was exceeded.

## Key Findings - Science

## Biology

o Sixty-four (64) percent of Georgia's students met or exceeded the standard for Biology.
o When comparing the Spring 2009 scores to Spring 2008, the percent of students meeting or exceeding the standard increased by two (2) percentage points in Biology.
o The percent of students achieving the Exceeds standard performance level in Biology increased by four (4) percentage points in Biology from Spring 2008 to Spring 2009.
o The 2009 Strategic Plan target for the percentage of students meeting and exceeding the Biology standard on the EOCT was $63 \%$. This target was exceeded.

Physical Science
o Sixty-seven (67) percent of Georgia's students met or exceeded the standard for Physical Science.
o When comparing the Spring 2009 scores to the Spring 2008, the percent of students meeting or exceeding the standard increased by four (4) percentage points in Physical Science.
o The percent of students achieving the Exceeds standard performance level in Physical Science increased by one (1) percentage point in Physical Science from Spring 2008 to Spring 2009.
o The 2009 Strategic Plan target for the percentage of students meeting and exceeding the Physical Science standard on the EOCT was 64 \%. This target was exceeded.

## Key Findings - Social Studies

## U.S. History

o Sixty (60) percent of Georgia's students met or exceeded the standard for U.S. History.
o When comparing the Spring 2009 scores to the spring 2008, the percent of students meeting or exceeding the standard increased by one (1) percentage point in U. S. History.
o The percent of students achieving at the Exceeds standard performance level in U.S. History increased by five (5) percentage points from Spring 2008 to Spring 2009.
o The 2009 Strategic Plan target for the percentage of students meeting and exceeding the U. S. History standard on the EOCT was $60 \%$. This target was met.

## Economics/Business/Free Enterprise

o Sixty-eight (68) percent of Georgia's students met or exceeded the standard for Economics/Business/Free Enterprise.
o When comparing the Spring 2009 scores to the spring 2008, the percent of students meeting or exceeding the standard remained the same in Economics.
o The percent of students achieving at the Exceeds standard performance level in Economics increased by three (3) percentage points from Spring 2008 to Spring 2009.
o The 2009 Strategic Plan target for the percentage of students meeting and exceeding the Economics standard on the EOCT was 70\%. This target was not met.

## KEY FINDINGS - MATHEMATICS

## Algebra I

o Forty (40) percent of Georgia’s students met or exceeded the standard for Algebra I.
o When comparing the Spring 2009 scores to the spring 2008, the percent of students meeting or exceeding the standard decreased by fifteen (15) percentage points in Algebra I.
o The percent of students achieving at the Exceeds standard performance level in Algebra I decreased by fifteen (15) percentage points from Spring 2008 to Spring 2009.
o The 2009 Strategic Plan target for the percentage of students meeting and exceeding the Algebra I standard on the EOCT was $65 \%$. This target was not met.

## GEOMETRY

o Forty (47) percent of Georgia's students met or exceeded the standard for Geometry.
o When comparing the Spring 2009 scores to the spring 2008, the percent of students meeting or exceeding the standard decreased by fourteen (14) percentage points in Geometry.
o The percent of students achieving at the Exceeds standard performance level in Geometry decreased by fourteen (14) percentage points from Spring 2008 to Spring 2009.
o The 2009 Strategic Plan target for the percentage of students meeting and exceeding the Geometry standard on the EOCT was $65 \%$. This target was not met.

Participants in the Algebra I and Geometry EOCT represent a smaller group of students that were enrolled in high school prior to Fall 2008. These two tests are aligned to the Quality Core Curriculum (QCC) and will continue to be available until the 2010/2011 school year.

As the high school mathematics curriculum transitions to GPS, the Algebra I and Geometry courses and EOCT will be replaced by Mathematics I and Mathematics II. During the 2008/2009 school year, Mathematics I and Mathematics II were field tested and no results at any level (state, system, school, or student) are available. Students were granted a waiver for the $15 \%$ grade requirement for the 2008/2009 school year.

To provide information about the Mathematics I EOCT, GaDOE is releasing thirty (30) items along with item level data - the percent of students who selected each response option. Each system and school will receive an item level data report. Additionally, each item has been annotated by the Mathematics curriculum staff.

## KEY FINDINGS - SpECIAL Populations

When comparing the Spring 2009 scores to Spring 2008, the percent of English Language Learners (ELL) students meeting or exceeding the standards increased across multiple subject specific tests:
o In Ninth Grade Literature and Composition the percent of ELL students meeting or exceeding the standards increased by eleven (11) percentage points.
o In American Literature and Composition the percent of ELL students meeting or exceeding the standards increased by ten (10) percentage points.
o In Biology the percent of ELL students meeting or exceeding the standards increased by three (3) percentage points.
o In Physical Science the percent of ELL students meeting or exceeding the standards increased by five (5) percentage points.
o In U.S. History the percent of ELL students meeting or exceeding the standards increased by seven (7) percentage points.
o In Economics the percent of ELL students meeting or exceeding the standards increased by one (1) percentage point.

When comparing the Spring 2009 scores to Spring 2008, the percent of Students with
Disabilities meeting or exceeding standards increased across multiple subject specific tests:
o In Ninth Grade Literature and Composition the percent of Students with Disabilities meeting or exceeding the standards increased by five (5) percentage points.
o In American Literature and Composition the percent of Students with Disabilities meeting or exceeding the standards increased by four (4) percentage points.
o In Biology the percent of Students with Disabilities meeting or exceeding the standards increased by two (2) percentage points.
o In Physical Science the percent of Students with Disabilities meeting or exceeding the standards increased by three (3) percentage points.

## Percent in Performance Level (All Students) - EOCT - Spring 2009

| Performance | Ninth Grade <br> Level | American <br> Literature and <br> Composition | Bitology <br> Literature and <br> Composition | Physical <br> Science | U.S. <br> History | Economics | Algebra I | Geometry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Does Not Meet | 24 | 14 | 36 | 33 | 40 | 32 | 60 | 53 |
| Meets Standard | 47 | 58 | 39 | 38 | 33 | 40 | 29 | 34 |
| Exceeds Standard | 29 | 28 | 25 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 11 | 13 |

## ALL Students Spring 2009: Percent in Performance Level



## Historical Performance of Students on the Georgia EOCT

|  | Percent of Students Meeting and Exceeding Standard on EOCT |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring 2008 | Winter 2008 | Spring 2009 |
| Ninth Grade Literature | 73 | 74 | 76 |
| American Literature | 84 | 83 | 86 |
| Biology | 62 | 62 | 64 |
| Physical Science | 63 | 64 | 67 |
| U.S. History | 59 | 49 | 60 |
| Economics | 68 | 70 | 68 |
| Algebra I | 55 | 40 | 40 |
| Geometry | 61 | 51 | 47 |

## EOCT Historical Comparisons



## Historical Performance by Subject on the Georgia EOCT

| Ninth Grade Literature and <br> Composition |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Level | Percent of <br> Students |  |  |
|  | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | WI <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 9}$ |
|  | 27 | 26 | 24 |
| Meets | 53 | 50 | 47 |
| Exceeds | 20 | 24 | 29 |

Ninth Grade Literature \& Composition


| American Literature and <br> Composition |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Level | Percent of <br> Students |  |  |
|  | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | WI <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 9}$ |
|  | 16 | 17 | 14 |
| Meets | 56 | 58 | 58 |
| Exceeds | 28 | 24 | 28 |

American Literature \& Composition


## Historical Performance by Subject on the Georgia EOCT

| Biology |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Level | Percent of <br> Students |  |  |
|  | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | WI <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 9}$ |
|  | 38 | 38 | 36 |
| Meets | 40 | 45 | 39 |
| Exceeds | 21 | 17 | 25 |



| Physical Science |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Level | Percent of <br> Students |  |  |
|  | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | WI <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 9}$ |
|  | 37 | 36 | 33 |
| Meets | 35 | 35 | 38 |
| Exceeds | 28 | 29 | 29 |



## Historical Performance by Subject on the Georgia EOCT

| U.S. History |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Level | Percent of <br> Students |  |  |
|  | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | WI <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 9}$ |
| Does Not Meet | 41 | 51 | 40 |
| Meets | 37 | 34 | 33 |
| Exceeds | 22 | 15 | 27 |



| Economics |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Level | Percent of <br> Students |  |  |
|  | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | WI <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 9}$ |
|  | 32 | 30 | 32 |
| Meets | 44 | 45 | 40 |
| Exceeds | 25 | 25 | 28 |



## Historical Performance by Subject on the Georgia EOCT

| Algebra I |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Level | Percent of <br> Students |  |  |
|  | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | WI <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 9}$ |
| Does Not Meet | 45 | 60 | 60 |
| Meets | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Exceeds | 26 | 12 | 11 |



| Geometry |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Level | Percent of <br> Students |  |  |
|  | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | WI <br> $\mathbf{0 8}$ | SP <br> $\mathbf{0 9}$ |
|  | 39 | 49 | 53 |
| Meets | 34 | 36 | 34 |
| Exceeds | 27 | 15 | 13 |



## Historical Performance of Sub-Groups on the Georgia EOCT

| Ninth Grade Literature <br> \& Composition | Percent of Students Meeting and Exceeding Standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring 2008 | Winter 2008 | Spring 2009 | Change from SP08 to SP09 |
| All Students | 73 | 74 | 76 | +3 |
| English Language Learners | 24 | 39 | 35 | +11 |
| Students with Disabilities | 33 | 38 | 38 | +5 |
| Asian | 87 | 85 | 87 | No Change |
| Black | 60 | 64 | 66 | +6 |
| Hispanic | 63 | 70 | 67 | +4 |
| Native American/Alaskan | 69 | 65 | 78 | +9 |
| White | 84 | 84 | 86 | +2 |
| Multiracial | 79 | 72 | 75 | -4 |



Historical Performance of Sub-Groups on the Georgia EOCT

| American Literature <br> \& Composition | Percent of Students Meeting and Exceeding Standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring 2008 | Winter 2008 | Spring 2009 | Change from SP 08 to SP 09 |
| All Students | 84 | 83 | 86 | +2 |
| English Language Learners | 43 | 43 | 53 | +10 |
| Students with Disabilities | 49 | 52 | 53 | +4 |
| Asian | 88 | 86 | 91 | +3 |
| Black | 75 | 76 | 79 | +4 |
| Hispanic | 74 | 77 | 79 | +5 |
| Native American/Alaskan | 87 | 92 | 89 | +2 |
| White | 91 | 90 | 92 | +1 |
| Multiracial | 88 | 76 | 85 | -3 |

American Literature \& Composition


## Historical Performance of Sub-Groups on the Georgia EOCT

| Biology | Percent of Students Meeting and Exceeding Standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring 2008 | Winter 2008 | Spring 2009 | Change from SP 08 to SP 09 |
| All Students | 62 | 62 | 64 | +2 |
| English Language Learners | 26 | 26 | 29 | +3 |
| Students with Disabilities | 29 | 32 | 31 | +2 |
| Asian | 81 | 78 | 82 | +1 |
| Black | 45 | 47 | 47 | +2 |
| Hispanic | 52 | 52 | 54 | +2 |
| Native American/Alaskan | 65 | 64 | 63 | -2 |
| White | 77 | 78 | 79 | +2 |
| Multiracial | 67 | 56 | 60 | -7 |

Biology


## Historical Performance of Sub-Groups on the Georgia EOCT

| Physical Science | Percent of Students Meeting and Exceeding Standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring 2008 | Winter 2008 | Spring 2009 | Change from SP 08 to SP 09 |
| All Students | 63 | 64 | 67 | +4 |
| English Language Learners | 38 | 42 | 43 | +5 |
| Students with Disabilities | 34 | 37 | 37 | +3 |
| Asian | 78 | 76 | 82 | +4 |
| Black | 49 | 53 | 55 | +6 |
| Hispanic | 57 | 58 | 64 | +7 |
| Native American/Alaskan | 61 | 69 | 68 | +7 |
| White | 78 | 76 | 81 | +3 |
| Multiracial | 68 | 57 | 62 | -6 |

Physical Science


## Historical Performance of Sub-Groups on the Georgia EOCT

| U.S. History | Percent of Students Meeting and Exceeding Standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring 08 | Winter 08 | Spring 09 | Change from SP 08 to SP 09 |
| All Students | 59 | 49 | 60 | +1 |
| English Language Learners | 19 | 21 | 26 | +7 |
| Students with Disabilities | 29 | 27 | 29 | No Change |
| Asian | 76 | 61 | 78 | +2 |
| Black | 41 | 33 | 43 | +2 |
| Hispanic | 49 | 44 | 50 | +1 |
| Native American/Alaskan | 69 | 64 | 60 | -9 |
| White | 74 | 64 | 74 | No Change |
| Multiracial | 62 | 44 | 59 | -3 |

U.S. History


# Historical Performance of Sub-Groups on the Georgia EOCT 

| Economics | Percent of Students Meeting and Exceeding Standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring 08 | Winter 08 | Spring 09 | Change from SP 08 to SP 09 |
| All Students | 68 | 70 | 68 | No Change |
| English Language Learners | 38 | 35 | 39 | +1 |
| Students with Disabilities | 36 | 41 | 36 | No Change |
| Asian | 83 | 83 | 83 | No Change |
| Black | 50 | 56 | 52 | +2 |
| Hispanic | 63 | 61 | 61 | -2 |
| Native American/Alaskan | 71 | 74 | 72 | +1 |
| White | 82 | 82 | 81 | -1 |
| Multiracial | 70 | 66 | 65 | -5 |

Economics


## Historical Performance of Sub-Groups on the Georgia EOCT

| Algebra I | Percent of Students Meeting and Exceeding Standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring 08 | Winter 08 | Spring 09 | Change from SP 08 to SP 09 |
| All Students | 55 | 40 | 40 | -15 |
| English Language Learners | 47 | 31 | 31 | -16 |
| Students with Disabilities | 28 | 20 | 22 | -6 |
| Asian | 78 | 55 | 58 | -20 |
| Black | 41 | 32 | 31 | -10 |
| Hispanic | 56 | 40 | 39 | -17 |
| Native American/Alaskan | 57 | 46 | 33 | -24 |
| White | 69 | 52 | 49 | -20 |
| Multiracial | 60 | 38 | 38 | -22 |



## Historical Performance of Sub-Groups on the Georgia EOCT

| Geometry | Percent of Students Meeting and Exceeding Standard |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spring 08 | Winter 08 | Spring 09 | Change from SP 08 to SP 09 |
| All Students | 61 | 51 | 47 | -14 |
| English Language Learners | 47 | 36 | 37 | -10 |
| Students with Disabilities | 30 | 26 | 22 | -8 |
| Asian | 83 | 71 | 68 | -15 |
| Black | 41 | 34 | 30 | -11 |
| Hispanic | 56 | 47 | 45 | -11 |
| Native American/Alaskan | 69 | 61 | 53 | -16 |
| White | 79 | 67 | 66 | -13 |
| Multiracial | 65 | 40 | 44 | -21 |



## Number of Tests Administered

| Spring 09* | Total Number | Electronic |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ Literature | 99,844 | 23,568 |
| American Literature | 82,898 | 21,578 |
| Algebra I | 26,152 | 5,286 |
| Geometry | 64,231 | 10,083 |
| U.S. History | 86,251 | 24,545 |
| Economics | 49,293 | 18,835 |
| Physical Science | 53,065 | 7,559 |
| Biology | 113,682 | 28,225 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 7 5 , 4 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9 , 6 7 9}$ |

* Figures include February and March online mid-month administrations

| Winter 08* | Total Number | Electronic |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ Literature \& Comp. | 30,682 | 5,228 |
| American Literature | 27,702 | 6,022 |
| Algebra I | 34,936 | 7,064 |
| Geometry | 23,569 | 3,525 |
| U.S. History | 25,625 | 6,157 |
| Economics | 49,149 | 18,340 |
| Physical Science | 14,914 | 3,729 |
| Biology | 20,380 | 3,803 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 2 6 , 9 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 , 8 6 8}$ |

* Figures include August, September, October, and November online mid-month administrations

| Spring 2009 <br> Mid-Month Electronic | February <br> 2009 | March <br> 2009 | Mid-Month <br> Totals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 $^{\text {th }}$ Literature \& Comp | 398 | 306 | 704 |
| American Literature | 427 | 332 | 759 |
| Algebra I | 345 | 319 | 664 |
| Geometry | 279 | 221 | 500 |
| U.S. History | 363 | 276 | 639 |
| Economics | 521 | 2,962 | 3,483 |
| Physical Science | 384 | 304 | 688 |
| Biology | 384 | 288 | 672 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{3 , 1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 1 0 9}$ |

