# Testing Brief National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) January 26 - March 6, 2009 

The Nation's Report Card, the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), is a nationally representative and continuing assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Since 1969, assessments have been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, history, geography, and other fields. By making objective information on student performance available to policymakers at the national, state, and local levels, NAEP is an integral part of our nation's evaluation of the condition and progress of education. Only information related to academic achievement is collected under this program. NAEP guarantees the privacy of individual students and their families. This report provides selected results from the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) for Georgia's public school students in mathematics at grades 4 and 8 . Student performance is reported as an average score based on the NAEP mathematics scale, which ranges from 0 to 500 . Beginning in 1990, mathematics has been assessed in eight different years at the state level (at grade 8 in 1990, and at both grades 4 and 8 in 1992, 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007 and 2009).

Administration of the NAEP supports Goal 5 of the Superintendent's Strategic Plan: Improve the SAT, ACT, and achievement scores of Georgia students. This is achieved through providing an effective curriculum and assessment system designed to improve student achievement.

## Key Findings in Mathematics

## Grade 4

- The average mathematics score for students in Georgia was 236. This was not significantly different than the score in 2007 (235).
- Georgia's average score in 2009 (236) was lower than that of the nation's public schools (239).
- The average mathematics score for White students in Georgia changed from 246 in 2007 to 247 in 2009. The average score for Black students changed from 222 in 2007 to 221 in 2009. The average score for Hispanic students changed from 229 in 2007 to 231 in 2009.
- The average mathematics score for students who were eligible for the National School Lunch Program was 224 in 2007 and 225 in 2009.
- The percentage of students in Georgia who performed at or above Basic was 78 percent. This was not significantly different from 2007 (79 percent).
- The percentage of students in Georgia who performed at or above Proficient was 34 percent. Although this represents a two-percentage point increase over 2007 (32 percent), this gain was not significant.
- The 2009 Strategic Plan target for fourth graders performing at or above Basic was eighty-five (85) percent. This target was not met.
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## Key Findings in Mathematics

## Grade 8

- The average mathematics score for students in Georgia was 278 . This was significantly higher than the score in 2007 (275) and 2005 (272).
- Georgia's average score (278) was lower than that of the nation's public schools (282).
- The average mathematics score for White students in Georgia changed from 288 in 2007 to 289 in 2009. Black students’ average score increased from 261 in 2007 to 262 in 2009. Scores for Hispanic students changed from 266 in 2007 to 270 in 2009.
- The average mathematics score for students who were eligible for the National School Lunch Program in Georgia increased from 262 in 2007 to 265 in 2009.
- The percentage of students in Georgia who performed at or above Basic increased, although not significantly, from 64 percent in 2005 to 67 percent in 2007.
- The two- percentage point gain of students in Georgia who performed at or above Proficient, from 25 percent in 2007 to 27 percent in 2009, does not represent a significant change.
- The average scale score for females increased significantly from 274 in 2007 to 278 in 2009.
- The 2009 Strategic Plan target for eighth graders performing at or above Basic was seventy (70) percent. This target was not met.

Table 1
Average Scale Scores
Mathematics 2000-2009
Grade 4

| Table 1: Average Scale Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | Change 2000-2009 |
| All Students | 219 | 230 | 234 | 235 | 236 | 17 |
| White | 230 | 241 | 243 | 246 | 247 | 17 |
| Black | 204 | 217 | 221 | 222 | 221 | 17 |
| Hispanic | 217 | 219 | 229 | 229 | 231 | 14 |
| Asian | NA | 248 | 255 | 255 | 256 | $8^{* *}$ |
| Male | 220 | 231 | 234 | 236 | 237 | 17 |
| Female | 218 | 229 | 233 | 234 | 236 | 18 |
| FRL (NSL) | 204 | 219 | 224 | 224 | 225 | 21 |
| Students with Disabilities | 196 | 209 | 218 | 219 | 215 | 19 |
| English Language Learner | NA | 208 | 208 | 212 | 220 | $12^{* *}$ |

**Change reported for years other than 2000-2007
NA - Reporting standards for sample size not met.

Figure 1
Average Scale Scores
Mathematics 2000-2009
Grade 4

## Grade 4 Math Average Scale Scores


*Value is significantly different from the 2009 value.
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Achievement Level - At or Above Basic
Mathematics 2000-2009
Grade 4

| Table 2: Achievement Level - At or Above Basic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | *Change 2000-2009 |
| All Students | $57 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $78 \%$ | 21 |
| White | $73 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $90 \%$ | 17 |
| Black | $36 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $62 \%$ | 26 |
| Hispanic | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $75 \%$ | 17 |
| Asian | NA | $87 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $6 * *$ |
| Male | $59 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $77 \%$ | 18 |
| Female | $55 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $79 \%$ | 24 |
| FRL (NSL) | $36 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $68 \%$ | 32 |
| Students with Disabilities | $32 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $53 \%$ | 21 |
| English Language Learner | NA | $41 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $18^{* *}$ |

* Indicates change in percentage points
**Change reported for years other than 2000-2009
NA - Reporting standards for sample size not met.
Figure 2
Achievement Level - At or Above Basic
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Grade 4


## Grade 4 Math At or Above Basic


*Value is significantly different from the 2009 value.

[^2]Table 3
Achievement Level - At or Above Proficient
Mathematics 2000-2009
Grade 4

| Table 3: Achievement Level - At or Above Proficient |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | *Change 2000-2009 |
| All Students | $17 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $34 \%$ | 17 |
| White | $27 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $48 \%$ | 21 |
| Black | $5 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 10 |
| Hispanic | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $26 \%$ | 14 |
| Asian | NA | $53 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $7 * *$ |
| Male | $19 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $35 \%$ | 16 |
| Female | $16 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $32 \%$ | 16 |
| FRL (NSL) | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $19 \%$ | 14 |
| Students with Disabilities | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $13 \%$ | 9 |
| English Language Learner | NA | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $6 * *$ |

* Indicates change in percentage points
**Reported change for years other than 2000-2009
NA - Reporting standards for sample size not met.

Figure 3
Achievement Level - At or Above Proficient
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Grade 4

## Grade 4 Math At or Above Proficient

| $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ 80 \% \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All <br> Students | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { FRL } \\ \text { (NSL) } \end{gathered}$ | SD | ELL |
| $\square 2000$ | 17\% | 27\% | 5\% | 12\% |  | 19\% | 16\% | 5\% | 4\% |  |
| $\square 2003$ | 27\% | 40\% | 11\% | 13\% | 53\% | 29\% | 25\% | 12\% | 11\% | 8\% |
| - 2005 | 30\% | 43\% | 12\% | 22\% | 57\% | 30\% | 29\% | 16\% | 15\% | 4\% |
| $\square 2007$ | 32\% | 46\% | 13\% | 20\% | 63\% | 33\% | 30\% | 16\% | 18\% | 5\% |
| $\square 2009$ | 34\% | 48\% | 15\% | 26\% | 60\% | 35\% | 32\% | 19\% | 13\% | 14\% |

*Value is significantly different from the 2009 value.

[^3]Table 4
Average Scale Scores
Mathematics 2000-2007
Grade 8

| Table 4: Average Scale Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | Change 2000-2009 |
| All Students | 265 | 270 | 272 | 275 | 278 | 13 |
| White | 279 | 284 | 284 | 288 | 289 | 10 |
| Black | 244 | 250 | 255 | 261 | 262 | 18 |
| Hispanic | NA | 262 | 258 | 266 | 270 | $8^{* *}$ |
| Asian | NA | 286 | 301 | NA | 300 | $14^{* *}$ |
| Male | 265 | 270 | 273 | 275 | 277 | 12 |
| Female | 265 | 269 | 272 | 274 | 278 | 13 |
| FRL (NSL) | 246 | 253 | 257 | 262 | 265 | 19 |
| Students with Disabilities | 232 | 234 | 241 | 246 | 245 | 13 |
| English Language Learner | NA | 239 | 242 | 237 | NA | $-2^{* *}$ |

**Change reported for years other than 2000-2009
NA - Reporting standards for sample size not met.
Figure 4
Average Scale Scores
Mathematics 2000-2009
Grade 8

*Value is significantly different from the value for 2009.

[^4]Table 5
Achievement Level - At or Above Basic
Mathematics 2000-2009
Grade 8

| Table 5: Achievement Level - At or Above Basic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | *Change 2000-2009 |
| All Students | $54 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $67 \%$ | 13 |
| White | $71 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | 9 |
| Black | $28 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $50 \%$ | 22 |
| Hispanic | NA | $49 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $10^{* *}$ |
| Asian | NA | $73 \%$ | $84 \%$ | NA | $86 \%$ | $13^{* *}$ |
| Male | $55 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $65 \%$ | 10 |
| Female | $54 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $68 \%$ | 14 |
| FRL (NSL) | $30 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $53 \%$ | 23 |
| Students with Disabilities | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $28 \%$ | 2 |
| English Language Learner | NA | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $20 \%$ | NA | $-5^{* *}$ |

* Indicates change in percentage points
**Change reported for years other than 2000-2009
NA - Reporting standards for sample size not met.

Figure 5
Achievement Level - At or Above Basic
Mathematics 2000-2009
Grade 8

*Value is significantly different from the 2009 value.

[^5]Table 6
Achievement Level - At or Above Proficient
Mathematics 2000-2009
Grade 8

| Table 6: Achievement Level - At or Above Proficient |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | *Change 2000-2009 |
| All Students | $19 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ | 8 |
| White | $27 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $39 \%$ | 12 |
| Black | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | 7 |
| Hispanic | NA | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $4^{* *}$ |
| Asian | NA | $40 \%$ | $52 \%$ | NA | $49 \%$ | $9 * *$ |
| Male | $19 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $27 \%$ | 8 |
| Female | $18 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $27 \%$ | 9 |
| FRL (NSL) | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | 8 |
| Students with Disabilities | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | 1 |
| English Language Learner | NA | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $1 \%$ | NA | $-3^{* *}$ |

* Indicates change in percentage points
**Change reported for years other than 2000-2009
NA - Reporting standards for sample size not met.

Figure 6
Achievement Level - At or Above Proficient
Mathematics 2000-2009
Grade 8

*Value is significantly different from the 2009 value.
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