

District Summary of 2008 Title III AMAO Determinations

| System Code | System Name# | AMAO 1: Progress | AMAO 2: Proficiency | AMAO 3: AYP ELL Subgroup Performance |
|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 111 | Consortium | Met | Met | Does not apply to Consortium |
| 601 | Appling County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 602 | Atkinson County | Met | Did Not Meet | Met |
| 603 | Bacon County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 604 | Baker County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 605 | Baldwin County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 606 | Banks County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 607 | Barrow County | Met | Met | Met |
| 608 | Bartow County | Met | Met | Met |
| 609 | Ben Hill County | Met | Met | Met |
| 610 | Berrien County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 611 | Bibb County | Met | Met | Met |
| 612 | Bleckley County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 613 | Brantley County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 614 | Brooks County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 615 | Bryan County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 616 | Bulloch County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 617 | Burke County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 618 | Butts County | Met | Did Not Meet | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 619 | Calhoun County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 620 | Camden County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 621 | Candler County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 622 | Carroll County | Met | Met | Met |
| 623 | Catoosa County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 624 | Charlton County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 625 | Chatham County | Met | Met | Met |
| 626 | Chattahoochee County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 627 | Chattooga County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 628 | Cherokee County | Met | Met | Met |
| 629 | Clarke County | Met | Met | Met |
| 630 | Clay County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 631 | Clayton County | Met | Met | Met |
| 632 | Clinch County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 633 | Cobb County | Met | Met | Did Not Meet |
| 634 | Coffee County | Met | Met | Met |
| 635 | Colquitt County | Met | Did Not Meet | Met |
| 636 | Columbia County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 637 | Cook County | Met | Did Not Meet | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 638 | Coweta County | Met | Met | Met |
| 639 | Crawford County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 640 | Crisp County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 641 | Dade County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 642 | Dawson County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 643 | Decatur County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 644 | DeKalb County | Met | Met | Met |
| 645 | Dodge County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 646 | Dooly County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 647 | Dougherty County | Met | Did Not Meet | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 648 | Douglas County | Met | Met | Met |
| 649 | Early County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 650 | Echols County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 651 | Effingham County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |

District Summary of 2008 Title III AMAO Determinations

| System Code | System Name# | AMAO 1: Progress | AMAO 2: Proficiency | AMAO 3: AYP ELL Subgroup Performance |
|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 652 | Elbert County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 653 | Emanuel County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 654 | Evans County | Met | Did Not Meet | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 655 | Fannin County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 656 | Fayette County | Met | Met | Met |
| 657 | Floyd County | Met | Met | Met |
| 658 | Forsyth County | Met | Met | Met |
| 659 | Franklin County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 660 | Fulton County | Met | Met | Met |
| 661 | Gilmer County | Met | Met | Met |
| 662 | Glascocock County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 663 | Glynn County | Met | Met | Met |
| 664 | Gordon County | Met | Met | Met |
| 665 | Grady County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 666 | Greene County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 667 | Gwinnett County | Met | Met | Did Not Meet |
| 668 | Habersham County | Did Not Meet | Met | Did Did Not Meet |
| 669 | Hall County | Met | Met | Met |
| 670 | Hancock County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 671 | Haralson County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 672 | Harris County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 673 | Hart County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 674 | Heard County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 675 | Henry County | Met | Met | Met |
| 676 | Houston County | Met | Met | Met |
| 677 | Irwin County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 678 | Jackson County | Met | Met | Met |
| 679 | Jasper County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 680 | Jeff Davis County | Met | Met | Met |
| 681 | Jefferson County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 682 | Jenkins County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 683 | Johnson County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 684 | Jones County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 685 | Lamar County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 686 | Lanier County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 687 | Laurens County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 688 | Lee County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 689 | Liberty County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 690 | Lincoln County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 691 | Long County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 692 | Lowndes County | Met | Met | Met |
| 693 | Lumpkin County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 694 | Macon County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 695 | Madison County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 696 | Marion County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 697 | McDuffie County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 698 | McIntosh County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 699 | Meriwether County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 700 | Miller County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 701 | Mitchell County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 702 | Monroe County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 703 | Montgomery County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |

District Summary of 2008 Title III AMAO Determinations

| System Code | System Name# | AMAO 1: Progress | AMAO 2: Proficiency | AMAO 3: AYP ELL Subgroup Performance |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 704 | Morgan County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 705 | Murray County | Met | Met | Met |
| 706 | Muscogee County | Met | Met | Met |
| 707 | Newton County | Met | Met | Met |
| 708 | Oconee County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 709 | Oglethorpe County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 710 | Paulding County | Met | Met | Did Not Meet |
| 711 | Peach County | Did Not Meet | Did Not Meet | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 712 | Pickens County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 713 | Pierce County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 714 | Pike County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 715 | Polk County | Met | Met | Met |
| 716 | Pulaski County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 717 | Putnam County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 718 | Quitman County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 719 | Rabun County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 720 | Randolph County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 721 | Richmond County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 722 | Rockdale County | Met | Met | Met |
| 723 | Schley County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 724 | Screven County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 725 | Seminole County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 726 | Spalding County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 727 | Stephens County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 728 | Stewart County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 729 | Sumter County | Met | Met | Met |
| 730 | Talbot County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 731 | Taliaferro County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 732 | Tattnall County | Did Not Meet | Did Not Meet | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 733 | Taylor County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 734 | Telfair County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 735 | Terrell County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 736 | Thomas County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 737 | Tift County | Met | Met | Met |
| 738 | Toombs County | Met | Met | Met |
| 739 | Towns County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 740 | Treutlen County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 741 | Troup County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 742 | Turner County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 743 | Twiggs County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 744 | Union County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 745 | Thomaston Upson County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 746 | Walker County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 747 | Walton County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 748 | Ware County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 749 | Warren County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 750 | Washington County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 751 | Wayne County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 752 | Webster County | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 753 | Wheeler County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 754 | White County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 755 | Whitfield County | Met | Met | Met |

District Summary of 2008 Title III AMAO Determinations

| System Code | System Name# | AMAO 1: Progress | AMAO 2: Proficiency | AMAO 3: AYP ELL Subgroup Performance |
|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 756 | Wilcox County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 757 | Wilkes County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 758 | Wilkinson County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 759 | Worth County | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 761 | Atlanta City | Met | Met | Met |
| 763 | Bremen City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 764 | Buford City | Met | Met | Met |
| 765 | Calhoun City | Met | Met | Met |
| 766 | Carrollton City | Met | Met | Met |
| 767 | Cartersville City | Met | Met | Met |
| 769 | Chickamauga City | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 771 | Commerce City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 772 | Dalton City | Met | Met | Met |
| 773 | Decatur City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 774 | Dublin City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 776 | Gainesville City | Met | Met | Met |
| 779 | Jefferson City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 781 | Marietta City | Met | Met | Met |
| 784 | Pelham City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 785 | Rome City | Met | Met | Met |
| 786 | Social Circle City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 789 | Thomasville City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 791 | Trion City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 792 | Valdosta City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 793 | Vidalia City | Met | Met | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 795 | CCAT | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 796 | Odyssey | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 797 | KidsPeace | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 798 | Mountain Education Center | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 799 | State Schools | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup | Too Few in Subgroup |
| 999 | State of Georgia | Met | Met | Did Not Meet |

#All districts in the state are included in this table.

Determinations for districts belonging to the Title III Consortium will be congruent with the determination of the Consortium for AMAO 1 and AMAO 2.

For AMAO 3, each district is reported independently.

Districts with too few ELL students to make a determination on any AMAO have no determination reported.

2008 District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress Toward English Language Proficiency

| System Code | System Name# | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record Who Made Progress | AMAO-1: 2008 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=47%) | Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 47%? | 2nd Look: 2007 Progress Percentage | Is 2008 Progress Percentage Greater than 2007 Progress Percentage? | Final 2008 AMAO_1 Progress Determination |
|-------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 111 | Consortium | 791 | 500 | 63.2 | Yes | 48.3 | Yes | Met |
| 601 | Appling County | 40 | 24 | 60.0 | Yes | 43.8 | Yes | Met |
| 602 | Atkinson County | 67 | 33 | 49.3 | Yes | 36.4 | Yes | Met |
| 606 | Banks County | 52 | 36 | 69.2 | Yes | 31.6 | Yes | Met |
| 607 | Barrow County | 393 | 221 | 56.2 | Yes | 45.4 | Yes | Met |
| 608 | Bartow County | 273 | 165 | 60.4 | Yes | 41.3 | Yes | Met |
| 609 | Ben Hill County | 50 | 36 | 72.0 | Yes | 44.9 | Yes | Met |
| 611 | Bibb County | 91 | 71 | 78.0 | Yes | 45.8 | Yes | Met |
| 616 | Bulloch County | 42 | 25 | 59.5 | Yes | 48.9 | Yes | Met |
| 618 | Butts County | 14 | 8 | 57.1 | Yes | -- | -- | Met |
| 622 | Carroll County | 119 | 84 | 70.6 | Yes | 46.5 | Yes | Met |
| 625 | Chatham County | 195 | 124 | 63.6 | Yes | 56.6 | Yes | Met |
| 628 | Cherokee County | 503 | 288 | 57.3 | Yes | 48.6 | Yes | Met |
| 629 | Clarke County | 510 | 331 | 64.9 | Yes | 55.6 | Yes | Met |
| 631 | Clayton County | 1,546 | 994 | 64.3 | Yes | 46.9 | Yes | Met |
| 633 | Cobb County | 3,965 | 2,539 | 64.0 | Yes | 53.6 | Yes | Met |
| 634 | Coffee County | 105 | 68 | 64.8 | Yes | 61.8 | Yes | Met |
| 635 | Colquitt County | 247 | 114 | 46.2 | No | 37.3 | Yes | Met |
| 636 | Columbia County | 63 | 45 | 71.4 | Yes | 55.2 | Yes | Met |
| 637 | Cook County | 32 | 19 | 59.4 | Yes | 38.5 | Yes | Met |
| 638 | Coweta County | 125 | 91 | 72.8 | Yes | 55.7 | Yes | Met |
| 643 | Decatur County | 52 | 31 | 59.6 | Yes | 58.1 | Yes | Met |
| 644 | DeKalb County | 2,511 | 1,532 | 61.0 | Yes | 56.0 | Yes | Met |
| 646 | Dooly County | 25 | 19 | 76.0 | Yes | 58.8 | Yes | Met |
| 647 | Dougherty County | 23 | 11 | 47.8 | Yes | 42.1 | Yes | Met |
| 648 | Douglas County | 303 | 185 | 61.1 | Yes | 51.8 | Yes | Met |
| 651 | Effingham County | 28 | 16 | 57.1 | Yes | 52.9 | Yes | Met |
| 652 | Elbert County | 27 | 14 | 51.9 | Yes | 45.5 | Yes | Met |
| 654 | Evans County | 35 | 12 | 34.3 | No | 26.7 | Yes | Met |
| 656 | Fayette County | 210 | 139 | 66.2 | Yes | 55.9 | Yes | Met |
| 657 | Floyd County | 128 | 85 | 66.4 | Yes | 51.4 | Yes | Met |
| 658 | Forsyth County | 615 | 397 | 64.6 | Yes | 50.2 | Yes | Met |
| 659 | Franklin County | 26 | 17 | 65.4 | Yes | 42.4 | Yes | Met |

2008 District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress Toward English Language Proficiency

| System Code | System Name# | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record Who Made Progress | AMAO-1: 2008 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=47%) | Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 47%? | 2nd Look: 2007 Progress Percentage | Is 2008 Progress Percentage Greater than 2007 Progress Percentage? | Final 2008 AMAO_1 Progress Determination |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 660 | Fulton County | 1,596 | 1,091 | 68.4 | Yes | 58.4 | Yes | Met |
| 661 | Gilmer County | 129 | 90 | 69.8 | Yes | 42.7 | Yes | Met |
| 663 | Glynn County | 175 | 107 | 61.1 | Yes | 49.7 | Yes | Met |
| 664 | Gordon County | 115 | 51 | 44.3 | No | 44.2 | Yes | Met |
| 665 | Grady County | 62 | 49 | 79.0 | Yes | 49.0 | Yes | Met |
| 666 | Greene County | 23 | 8 | 34.8 | No | 30.0 | Yes | Met |
| 667 | Gwinnett County | 7,176 | 4,904 | 68.3 | Yes | 60.4 | Yes | Met |
| 668 | Habersham County | 154 | 61 | 39.6 | No | 48.2 | No | Did Not Meet |
| 669 | Hall County | 2,066 | 1,284 | 62.1 | Yes | 38.4 | Yes | Met |
| 673 | Hart County | 27 | 16 | 59.3 | Yes | 25.0 | Yes | Met |
| 675 | Henry County | 392 | 294 | 75.0 | Yes | 51.4 | Yes | Met |
| 676 | Houston County | 238 | 162 | 68.1 | Yes | 50.3 | Yes | Met |
| 678 | Jackson County | 87 | 44 | 50.6 | Yes | 42.9 | Yes | Met |
| 680 | Jeff Davis County | 80 | 43 | 53.8 | Yes | 41.3 | Yes | Met |
| 689 | Liberty County | 21 | 13 | 61.9 | Yes | 50.0 | Yes | Met |
| 692 | Lowndes County | 61 | 38 | 62.3 | Yes | 58.3 | Yes | Met |
| 693 | Lumpkin County | 43 | 30 | 69.8 | Yes | 40.4 | Yes | Met |
| 705 | Murray County | 165 | 113 | 68.5 | Yes | 57.0 | Yes | Met |
| 706 | Muscogee County | 157 | 104 | 66.2 | Yes | 57.0 | Yes | Met |
| 707 | Newton County | 195 | 128 | 65.6 | Yes | 48.1 | Yes | Met |
| 708 | Oconee County | 59 | 37 | 62.7 | Yes | 59.6 | Yes | Met |
| 710 | Paulding County | 155 | 92 | 59.4 | Yes | 47.7 | Yes | Met |
| 711 | Peach County | 42 | 18 | 42.9 | No | 46.2 | No | Did Not Meet |
| 712 | Pickens County | 17 | 12 | 70.6 | Yes | 40.0 | Yes | Met |
| 713 | Pierce County | 51 | 24 | 47.1 | Yes | 60.8 | No | Met |
| 715 | Polk County | 137 | 82 | 59.9 | Yes | 44.6 | Yes | Met |
| 717 | Putnam County | 25 | 22 | 88.0 | Yes | 65.5 | Yes | Met |
| 719 | Rabun County | 53 | 34 | 64.2 | Yes | 64.0 | Yes | Met |
| 721 | Richmond County | 39 | 32 | 82.1 | Yes | 75.0 | Yes | Met |
| 722 | Rockdale County | 237 | 172 | 72.6 | Yes | 51.9 | Yes | Met |
| 726 | Spalding County | 46 | 35 | 76.1 | Yes | 81.3 | No | Met |
| 729 | Sumter County | 72 | 40 | 55.6 | Yes | 52.0 | Yes | Met |
| 732 | Tattnall County | 66 | 27 | 40.9 | No | 49.1 | No | Did Not Meet |

2008 District Performance on AMAO 1: Progress Toward English Language Proficiency

| System Code | System Name# | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record Who Made Progress | AMAO-1: 2008 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=47%) | Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 47%? | 2nd Look: 2007 Progress Percentage | Is 2008 Progress Percentage Greater than 2007 Progress Percentage? | Final 2008 AMAO_1 Progress Determination |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 737 | Tift County | 236 | 139 | 58.9 | Yes | 50.0 | Yes | Met |
| 738 | Toombs County | 93 | 45 | 48.4 | Yes | 35.3 | Yes | Met |
| 741 | Troup County | 30 | 23 | 76.7 | Yes | 59.1 | Yes | Met |
| 747 | Walton County | 51 | 29 | 56.9 | Yes | 62.5 | No | Met |
| 751 | Wayne County | 37 | 20 | 54.1 | Yes | 48.7 | Yes | Met |
| 755 | Whitfield County | 738 | 437 | 59.2 | Yes | 51.7 | Yes | Met |
| 761 | Atlanta City | 511 | 283 | 55.4 | Yes | 55.5 | No | Met |
| 764 | Buford City | 100 | 67 | 67.0 | Yes | 63.5 | Yes | Met |
| 765 | Calhoun City | 120 | 72 | 60.0 | Yes | 44.8 | Yes | Met |
| 766 | Carrollton City | 68 | 42 | 61.8 | Yes | 52.9 | Yes | Met |
| 767 | Cartersville City | 162 | 102 | 63.0 | Yes | 30.0 | Yes | Met |
| 772 | Dalton City | 462 | 302 | 65.4 | Yes | 56.7 | Yes | Met |
| 776 | Gainesville City | 651 | 372 | 57.1 | Yes | 50.4 | Yes | Met |
| 781 | Marietta City | 474 | 349 | 73.6 | Yes | 66.3 | Yes | Met |
| 785 | Rome City | 149 | 93 | 62.4 | Yes | 59.7 | Yes | Met |
| 792 | Valdosta City | 18 | 9 | 50.0 | Yes | 46.7 | Yes | Met |
| 999 | State of Georgia | 31067 | 19915 | 64.1 | Yes | 53.3 | Yes | Met |

To be included in this table, a district must have at least 1 ELL student with an ACCESS test record who is considered Full Academic Year (FAY).

For privacy and reliability reasons, performance is only reported for groups with 10 or more students.

2008 Consortium District's Performance on AMAO 1: Progress Toward English Language Proficiency

| System Code | System Name# | Consortium Membership | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record Who Made Progress | AMAO-1: 2008 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=47%) | Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 47%? | 2nd Look: 2007 Progress Percentage | Is 2008 Progress Percentage Greater than 2007 Progress Percentage? | Final 2008 AMAO_1 Progress Determination* |
|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 111 | Consortium | Consortium | 791 | 500 | 63.2 | Yes | 48.3 | Yes | Met |
| 603 | Bacon County | Consortium | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 604 | Baker County | Consortium | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 605 | Baldwin County | Consortium | 18 | 12 | 66.7 | Yes | 63.6 | Yes | Met |
| 610 | Berrien County | Consortium | 28 | 19 | 67.9 | Yes | 56.3 | Yes | Met |
| 612 | Bleckley County | Consortium | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 613 | Brantley County | Consortium | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 614 | Brooks County | Consortium | 21 | 15 | 71.4 | Yes | 56.0 | Yes | Met |
| 617 | Burke County | Consortium | 9 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 620 | Camden County | Consortium | 19 | 12 | 63.2 | Yes | 41.2 | Yes | Met |
| 621 | Candler County | Consortium | 19 | 9 | 47.4 | Yes | 18.2 | Yes | Met |
| 623 | Catoosa County | Consortium | 19 | 17 | 89.5 | Yes | 41.2 | Yes | Met |
| 626 | Chattahoochee County | Consortium | 4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 627 | Chattooga County | Consortium | 13 | 9 | 69.2 | Yes | 35.3 | Yes | Met |
| 640 | Crisp County | Consortium | 13 | 10 | 76.9 | Yes | 30.0 | Yes | Met |
| 641 | Dade County | Consortium | 4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 642 | Dawson County | Consortium | 19 | 10 | 52.6 | Yes | 78.6 | No | Met |
| 645 | Dodge County | Consortium | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 649 | Early County | Consortium | 13 | 6 | 46.2 | No | 50.0 | No | Met |
| 650 | Echols County | Consortium | 22 | 12 | 54.5 | Yes | 66.7 | No | Met |
| 653 | Emanuel County | Consortium | 28 | 14 | 50.0 | Yes | 55.6 | No | Met |
| 655 | Fannin County | Consortium | 5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 671 | Haralson County | Consortium | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 672 | Harris County | Consortium | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 677 | Irwin County | Consortium | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 679 | Jasper County | Consortium | 10 | 8 | 80.0 | Yes | 40.0 | Yes | Met |
| 681 | Jefferson County | Consortium | 14 | 11 | 78.6 | Yes | 45.5 | Yes | Met |
| 682 | Jenkins County | Consortium | 15 | 5 | 33.3 | No | 14.3 | Yes | Met |
| 683 | Johnson County | Consortium | 2 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 685 | Lamar County | Consortium | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 686 | Lanier County | Consortium | 2 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 687 | Laurens County | Consortium | 15 | 11 | 73.3 | Yes | 23.5 | Yes | Met |
| 688 | Lee County | Consortium | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 691 | Long County | Consortium | 19 | 9 | 47.4 | Yes | 23.5 | Yes | Met |
| 694 | Macon County | Consortium | 25 | 17 | 68.0 | Yes | 48.0 | Yes | Met |
| 695 | Madison County | Consortium | 11 | 7 | 63.6 | Yes | 54.5 | Yes | Met |

2008 Consortium District's Performance on AMAO 1: Progress Toward English Language Proficiency

| System Code | System Name# | Consortium Membership | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record Who Made Progress | AMAO-1: 2008 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=47%) | Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 47%? | 2nd Look: 2007 Progress Percentage | Is 2008 Progress Percentage Greater than 2007 Progress Percentage? | Final 2008 AMAO_1 Progress Determination* |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 696 | Marion County | Consortium | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 697 | McDuffie County | Consortium | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 698 | McIntosh County | Consortium | 5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 699 | Meriwether County | Consortium | 2 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 700 | Miller County | Consortium | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 701 | Mitchell County | Consortium | 16 | 9 | 56.3 | Yes | 50.0 | Yes | Met |
| 702 | Monroe County | Consortium | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | Yes | 66.7 | Yes | Met |
| 703 | Montgomery County | Consortium | 12 | 5 | 41.7 | No | 46.7 | No | Met |
| 704 | Morgan County | Consortium | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 709 | Oglethorpe County | Consortium | 16 | 8 | 50.0 | Yes | 57.1 | No | Met |
| 716 | Pulaski County | Consortium | 10 | 9 | 90.0 | Yes | 8.3 | Yes | Met |
| 723 | Schley County | Consortium | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 725 | Seminole County | Consortium | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 727 | Stephens County | Consortium | 9 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 734 | Telfair County | Consortium | 4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 735 | Terrell County | Consortium | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 736 | Thomas County | Consortium | 24 | 16 | 66.7 | Yes | 57.1 | Yes | Met |
| 739 | Towns County | Consortium | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 740 | Treutlen County | Consortium | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 742 | Turner County | Consortium | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 744 | Union County | Consortium | 20 | 12 | 60.0 | Yes | 47.1 | Yes | Met |
| 745 | Thomaston Upson Count | Consortium | 19 | 15 | 78.9 | Yes | 75.0 | Yes | Met |
| 746 | Walker County | Consortium | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 748 | Ware County | Consortium | 21 | 12 | 57.1 | Yes | 68.4 | No | Met |
| 750 | Washington County | Consortium | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 753 | Wheeler County | Consortium | 6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 754 | White County | Consortium | 20 | 16 | 80.0 | Yes | 55.0 | Yes | Met |
| 757 | Wilkes County | Consortium | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 758 | Wilkinson County | Consortium | 9 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 759 | Worth County | Consortium | 4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 763 | Bremen City | Consortium | 3 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 771 | Commerce City | Consortium | 24 | 11 | 45.8 | No | 38.5 | Yes | Met |
| 773 | Decatur City | Consortium | 19 | 13 | 68.4 | Yes | 36.4 | Yes | Met |
| 774 | Dublin City | Consortium | 5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 779 | Jefferson City | Consortium | 16 | 11 | 68.8 | Yes | 60.0 | Yes | Met |
| 784 | Pelham City | Consortium | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |

2008 Consortium District's Performance on AMAO 1: Progress Toward English Language Proficiency

| System Code | System Name# | Consortium Membership | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record | Number of 2008 FAY/ELL ACCESS Test Takers - Matched to 2007 Record Who Made Progress | AMAO-1: 2008 Percent of Students Making Progress (Target=47%) | Is District Progress Percentage Greater than or Equal to 47%? | 2nd Look: 2007 Progress Percentage | Is 2008 Progress Percentage Greater than 2007 Progress Percentage? | Final 2008 AMAO_1 Progress Determination* |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 786 | Social Circle City | Consortium | 10 | 8 | 80.0 | Yes | 60.0 | Yes | Met |
| 789 | Thomasville City | Consortium | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 791 | Trion City | Consortium | 16 | 10 | 62.5 | Yes | -- | -- | Met |
| 793 | Vidalia City | Consortium | 5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Met |

To be included in this table, a consortium district must have at least 1 ELL student with an ACCESS test record who is considered Full Academic Year (FAY).

* All districts in the Consortium met the AMAO 1 target because the consortium met the target. The other data displayed in this table can be used for informational purposes.

For privacy and reliability reasons, performance is only reported for groups with 10 or more students.

| 2008 District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| System Code | System Name# | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C) | AMAO-2: 2008 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5%) | 2008 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5%? | 2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2008 | 2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as ELL=M in 2008 | AMAO-2: 2008 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = State Performance 13.7%) | AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 13.7? | Final 2008 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination |
| 111 | Consortium | 1,665 | 159 | 9.5 | Yes | 1335 | 145 | 10.9 | No | Met |
| 601 | Appling County | 94 | 5 | 5.3 | Yes | 75 | 12 | 16.0 | Yes | Met |
| 602 | Atkinson County | 90 | 2 | 2.2 | No | 91 | 7 | 7.7 | No | Did Not Meet |
| 606 | Banks County | 88 | 12 | 13.6 | Yes | 71 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 607 | Barrow County | 737 | 72 | 9.8 | Yes | 667 | 133 | 19.9 | Yes | Met |
| 608 | Bartow County | 474 | 59 | 12.4 | Yes | 429 | 70 | 16.3 | Yes | Met |
| 609 | Ben Hill County | 120 | 13 | 10.8 | Yes | 104 | 3 | 2.9 | No | Met |
| 611 | Bibb County | 202 | 25 | 12.4 | Yes | 174 | 44 | 25.3 | Yes | Met |
| 616 | Bulloch County | 90 | 8 | 8.9 | Yes | 63 | 4 | 6.3 | No | Met |
| 618 | Butts County | 17 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 0 | -- | -- | -- | Did Not Meet |
| 622 | Carroll County | 234 | 28 | 12.0 | Yes | 160 | 10 | 6.3 | No | Met |
| 625 | Chatham County | 416 | 40 | 9.6 | Yes | 335 | 24 | 7.2 | No | Met |
| 628 | Cherokee County | 1,033 | 99 | 9.6 | Yes | 881 | 166 | 18.8 | Yes | Met |
| 629 | Clarke County | 984 | 86 | 8.7 | Yes | 824 | 134 | 16.3 | Yes | Met |
| 631 | Clayton County | 2,903 | 310 | 10.7 | Yes | 2,362 | 196 | 8.3 | No | Met |
| 633 | Cobb County | 7,118 | 1,040 | 14.6 | Yes | 6,400 | 989 | 15.5 | Yes | Met |
| 634 | Coffee County | 226 | 20 | 8.8 | Yes | 214 | 32 | 15.0 | Yes | Met |
| 635 | Colquitt County | 543 | 19 | 3.5 | No | 393 | 19 | 4.8 | No | Did Not Meet |
| 636 | Columbia County | 146 | 18 | 12.3 | Yes | 101 | 13 | 12.9 | No | Met |
| 637 | Cook County | 66 | 3 | 4.5 | No | 48 | 2 | 4.2 | No | Did Not Meet |
| 638 | Coweta County | 287 | 38 | 13.2 | Yes | 204 | 26 | 12.7 | No | Met |
| 643 | Decatur County | 103 | 14 | 13.6 | Yes | 82 | 10 | 12.2 | No | Met |
| 644 | DeKalb County | 4,896 | 474 | 9.7 | Yes | 3,803 | 347 | 9.1 | No | Met |
| 646 | Dooly County | 38 | 9 | 23.7 | Yes | 54 | 9 | 16.7 | Yes | Met |
| 647 | Dougherty County | 61 | 1 | 1.6 | No | 52 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Did Not Meet |
| 648 | Douglas County | 587 | 65 | 11.1 | Yes | 490 | 103 | 21.0 | Yes | Met |
| 651 | Effingham County | 43 | 6 | 14.0 | Yes | 33 | 2 | 6.1 | No | Met |
| 652 | Elbert County | 57 | 5 | 8.8 | Yes | 44 | 3 | 6.8 | No | Met |
| 654 | Evans County | 100 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 72 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Did Not Meet |
| 656 | Fayette County | 394 | 71 | 18.0 | Yes | 293 | 41 | 14.0 | Yes | Met |
| 657 | Floyd County | 261 | 22 | 8.4 | Yes | 192 | 17 | 8.9 | No | Met |
| 658 | Forsyth County | 1,230 | 157 | 12.8 | Yes | 1,044 | 166 | 15.9 | Yes | Met |
| 659 | Franklin County | 56 | 3 | 5.4 | Yes | 45 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 660 | Fulton County | 3,469 | 522 | 15.0 | Yes | 2,770 | 512 | 18.5 | Yes | Met |
| 661 | Gilmer County | 257 | 28 | 10.9 | Yes | 306 | 43 | 14.1 | Yes | Met |
| 663 | Glynn County | 353 | 29 | 8.2 | Yes | 270 | 30 | 11.1 | No | Met |

| 2008 District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| System Code | System Name# | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C) | AMAO-2: 2008 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5%) | 2008 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5%? | 2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2008 | 2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as ELL=M in 2008 | AMAO-2: 2008 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = State Performance 13.7%) | AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 13.7? | Final 2008 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination |
| 664 | Gordon County | 231 | 12 | 5.2 | Yes | 249 | 27 | 10.8 | No | Met |
| 665 | Grady County | 151 | 15 | 9.9 | Yes | 120 | 10 | 8.3 | No | Met |
| 666 | Greene County | 71 | 6 | 8.5 | Yes | 42 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 667 | Gwinnett County | 15,774 | 2,617 | 16.6 | Yes | 13,013 | 1,976 | 15.2 | Yes | Met |
| 668 | Habersham County | 302 | 10 | 3.3 | No | 296 | 78 | 26.4 | Yes | Met |
| 669 | Hall County | 3,664 | 280 | 7.6 | Yes | 3,007 | 254 | 8.4 | No | Met |
| 673 | Hart County | 59 | 3 | 5.1 | Yes | 44 | 2 | 4.5 | No | Met |
| 675 | Henry County | 699 | 158 | 22.6 | Yes | 595 | 62 | 10.4 | No | Met |
| 676 | Houston County | 497 | 63 | 12.7 | Yes | 359 | 20 | 5.6 | No | Met |
| 678 | Jackson County | 196 | 15 | 7.7 | Yes | 146 | 18 | 12.3 | No | Met |
| 680 | Jeff Davis County | 168 | 16 | 9.5 | Yes | 124 | 5 | 4.0 | No | Met |
| 689 | Liberty County | 47 | 5 | 10.6 | Yes | 38 | 6 | 15.8 | Yes | Met |
| 690 | Lincoln County | 1 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 692 | Lowndes County | 135 | 19 | 14.1 | Yes | 103 | 10 | 9.7 | No | Met |
| 693 | Lumpkin County | 71 | 8 | 11.3 | Yes | 63 | 13 | 20.6 | Yes | Met |
| 705 | Murray County | 354 | 53 | 15.0 | Yes | 386 | 37 | 9.6 | No | Met |
| 706 | Muscogee County | 323 | 55 | 17.0 | Yes | 341 | 65 | 19.1 | Yes | Met |
| 707 | Newton County | 388 | 75 | 19.3 | Yes | 314 | 30 | 9.6 | No | Met |
| 708 | Oconee County | 118 | 15 | 12.7 | Yes | 92 | 14 | 15.2 | Yes | Met |
| 710 | Paulding County | 275 | 37 | 13.5 | Yes | 218 | 24 | 11.0 | No | Met |
| 711 | Peach County | 84 | 2 | 2.4 | No | 81 | 4 | 4.9 | No | Did Not Meet |
| 712 | Pickens County | 37 | 2 | 5.4 | Yes | 27 | 1 | 3.7 | No | Met |
| 713 | Pierce County | 70 | 9 | 12.9 | Yes | 67 | 9 | 13.4 | No | Met |
| 715 | Polk County | 341 | 21 | 6.2 | Yes | 301 | 48 | 15.9 | Yes | Met |
| 717 | Putnam County | 66 | 17 | 25.8 | Yes | 57 | 9 | 15.8 | Yes | Met |
| 719 | Rabun County | 96 | 6 | 6.3 | Yes | 84 | 17 | 20.2 | Yes | Met |
| 721 | Richmond County | 75 | 24 | 32.0 | Yes | 85 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 722 | Rockdale County | 482 | 62 | 12.9 | Yes | 424 | 81 | 19.1 | Yes | Met |
| 726 | Spalding County | 88 | 14 | 15.9 | Yes | 78 | 9 | 11.5 | No | Met |
| 729 | Sumter County | 112 | 12 | 10.7 | Yes | 93 | 4 | 4.3 | No | Met |
| 732 | Tattnall County | 112 | 5 | 4.5 | No | 80 | 3 | 3.8 | No | Did Not Meet |
| 737 | Tift County | 397 | 37 | 9.3 | Yes | 356 | 12 | 3.4 | No | Met |
| 738 | Toombs County | 154 | 11 | 7.1 | Yes | 123 | 5 | 4.1 | No | Met |
| 741 | Troup County | 74 | 9 | 12.2 | Yes | 48 | 3 | 6.3 | No | Met |
| 747 | Walton County | 89 | 17 | 19.1 | Yes | 100 | 34 | 34.0 | Yes | Met |
| 751 | Wayne County | 60 | 4 | 6.7 | Yes | 54 | 3 | 5.6 | No | Met |

| 2008 District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| System Code | System Name# | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C) | AMAO-2: 2008 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5%) | 2008 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5%? | 2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2008 | 2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as ELL=M in 2008 | AMAO-2: 2008 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = State Performance 13.7%) | AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 13.7? | Final 2008 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination |
| 755 | Whitfield County | 1,489 | 146 | 9.8 | Yes | 1,267 | 187 | 14.8 | Yes | Met |
| 761 | Atlanta City | 1,055 | 107 | 10.1 | Yes | 899 | 58 | 6.5 | No | Met |
| 764 | Buford City | 233 | 21 | 9.0 | Yes | 172 | 35 | 20.3 | Yes | Met |
| 765 | Calhoun City | 289 | 25 | 8.7 | Yes | 192 | 13 | 6.8 | No | Met |
| 766 | Carrollton City | 134 | 26 | 19.4 | Yes | 112 | 17 | 15.2 | Yes | Met |
| 767 | Cartersville City | 267 | 34 | 12.7 | Yes | 245 | 38 | 15.5 | Yes | Met |
| 769 | Chickamauga City | 2 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | | | | -- |
| 772 | Dalton City | 993 | 69 | 6.9 | Yes | 873 | 210 | 24.1 | Yes | Met |
| 776 | Gainesville City | 1,327 | 91 | 6.9 | Yes | 983 | 124 | 12.6 | No | Met |
| 781 | Marietta City | 979 | 165 | 16.9 | Yes | 839 | 161 | 19.2 | Yes | Met |
| 785 | Rome City | 355 | 30 | 8.5 | Yes | 300 | 48 | 16.0 | Yes | Met |
| 792 | Valdosta City | 47 | 2 | 4.3 | No | 33 | 8 | 24.2 | Yes | Met |
| 798 | Mountain Education | 9 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 999 | State of Georgia | 62478 | 7892 | 12.6 | Yes | 52004 | 7104 | 13.7 | -- | Met |

To be included in this table, a district must have at least 1 ELL student with an ACCESS test record who is considered Full Academic Year (FAY).

For privacy and reliability reasons, performance is only reported for groups with 10 or more students.

2008 Consortium District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency

| System Code | System Name# | Consortium Membership | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C) | AMAO-2: 2008 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5%) | 2008 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5%? | 2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2008 | 2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as ELL=M in 2008 | AMAO-2: 2008 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = 13.7%) | AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 13.7? | Final 2008 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination * |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 111 | Consortium | Consortium | 1,665 | 159 | 9.5 | Yes | 1335 | 145 | 10.9 | No | Met |
| 603 | Bacon County | Consortium | 30 | 2 | 6.7 | Yes | 12 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 604 | Baker County | Consortium | 10 | 1 | 10.0 | Yes | 10 | 1 | 10.0 | No | Met |
| 605 | Baldwin County | Consortium | 33 | 4 | 12.1 | Yes | 32 | 9 | 28.1 | Yes | Met |
| 610 | Berrien County | Consortium | 41 | 7 | 17.1 | Yes | 31 | 2 | 6.5 | No | Met |
| 612 | Bleckley County | Consortium | 20 | 2 | 10.0 | Yes | 12 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 613 | Brantley County | Consortium | 2 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 614 | Brooks County | Consortium | 37 | 7 | 18.9 | Yes | 36 | 7 | 19.4 | Yes | Met |
| 615 | Bryan County | Consortium | 0 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 617 | Burke County | Consortium | 16 | 3 | 18.8 | Yes | 15 | 6 | 40.0 | Yes | Met |
| 619 | Calhoun County | Consortium | 4 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 620 | Camden County | Consortium | 40 | 6 | 15.0 | Yes | 27 | 3 | 11.1 | No | Met |
| 621 | Candler County | Consortium | 50 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 36 | 4 | 11.1 | No | Met |
| 623 | Catoosa County | Consortium | 55 | 14 | 25.5 | Yes | 42 | 13 | 31.0 | Yes | Met |
| 626 | Chattahoochee Co | Consortium | 11 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 5 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 627 | Chattooga County | Consortium | 23 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 19 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 632 | Clinch County | Consortium | 3 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 640 | Crisp County | Consortium | 30 | 3 | 10.0 | Yes | 15 | 2 | 13.3 | No | Met |
| 641 | Dade County | Consortium | 5 | -- | -- | -- | 6 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 642 | Dawson County | Consortium | 43 | 5 | 11.6 | Yes | 35 | 1 | 2.9 | No | Met |
| 645 | Dodge County | Consortium | 12 | 4 | 33.3 | Yes | 10 | 1 | 10.0 | No | Met |
| 649 | Early County | Consortium | 25 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 17 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 650 | Echols County | Consortium | 42 | 4 | 9.5 | Yes | 33 | 6 | 18.2 | Yes | Met |
| 653 | Emanuel County | Consortium | 57 | 3 | 5.3 | Yes | 39 | 2 | 5.1 | No | Met |
| 655 | Fannin County | Consortium | 9 | -- | -- | -- | 11 | 2 | 18.2 | Yes | Met |
| 671 | Haralson County | Consortium | 12 | 3 | 25.0 | Yes | 8 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 672 | Harris County | Consortium | 7 | -- | -- | -- | 7 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 677 | Irwin County | Consortium | 5 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 679 | Jasper County | Consortium | 38 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 36 | 5 | 13.9 | Yes | Met |
| 681 | Jefferson County | Consortium | 21 | 4 | 19.0 | Yes | 20 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 682 | Jenkins County | Consortium | 24 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 23 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 683 | Johnson County | Consortium | 3 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 685 | Lamar County | Consortium | 8 | -- | -- | -- | 9 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 686 | Lanier County | Consortium | 7 | -- | -- | -- | 2 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 687 | Laurens County | Consortium | 25 | 1 | 4.0 | No | 21 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |

2008 Consortium District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency

| System Code | System Name# | Consortium Membership | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C) | AMAO-2: 2008 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5%) | 2008 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5%? | 2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2008 | 2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as ELL=M in 2008 | AMAO-2: 2008 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = 13.7%) | AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 13.7%? | Final 2008 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination * |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 688 | Lee County | Consortium | 49 | 8 | 16.3 | Yes | 20 | 1 | 5.0 | No | Met |
| 691 | Long County | Consortium | 45 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 41 | 4 | 9.8 | No | Met |
| 694 | Macon County | Consortium | 35 | 7 | 20.0 | Yes | 36 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 695 | Madison County | Consortium | 41 | 1 | 2.4 | No | 37 | 9 | 24.3 | Yes | Met |
| 696 | Marion County | Consortium | 19 | 2 | 10.5 | Yes | 10 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 697 | McDuffie County | Consortium | 15 | 2 | 13.3 | Yes | 13 | 4 | 30.8 | Yes | Met |
| 698 | McIntosh County | Consortium | 11 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 7 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 699 | Meriwether County | Consortium | 7 | -- | -- | -- | 15 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 700 | Miller County | Consortium | 5 | -- | -- | -- | 3 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 701 | Mitchell County | Consortium | 24 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 34 | 4 | 11.8 | No | Met |
| 702 | Monroe County | Consortium | 15 | 4 | 26.7 | Yes | 37 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 703 | Montgomery County | Consortium | 23 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 22 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 704 | Morgan County | Consortium | 23 | 7 | 30.4 | Yes | 10 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 709 | Oglethorpe County | Consortium | 39 | 4 | 10.3 | Yes | 22 | 1 | 4.5 | No | Met |
| 716 | Pulaski County | Consortium | 16 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 11 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 720 | Randolph County | Consortium | 1 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 723 | Schley County | Consortium | 4 | -- | -- | -- | 4 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 725 | Seminole County | Consortium | 4 | -- | -- | -- | 4 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 727 | Stephens County | Consortium | 22 | 1 | 4.5 | No | 22 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 733 | Taylor County | Consortium | 0 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 734 | Telfair County | Consortium | 20 | 2 | 10.0 | Yes | 10 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 735 | Terrell County | Consortium | 5 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 736 | Thomas County | Consortium | 37 | 5 | 13.5 | Yes | 28 | 1 | 3.6 | No | Met |
| 739 | Towns County | Consortium | 10 | 1 | 10.0 | Yes | 8 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 740 | Treutlen County | Consortium | 1 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 742 | Turner County | Consortium | 6 | -- | -- | -- | 4 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 744 | Union County | Consortium | 39 | 2 | 5.1 | Yes | 31 | 3 | 9.7 | No | Met |
| 745 | Thomaston Upson | Consortium | 25 | 1 | 4.0 | No | 21 | 2 | 9.5 | No | Met |
| 746 | Walker County | Consortium | 29 | 7 | 24.1 | Yes | 41 | 24 | 58.5 | Yes | Met |
| 748 | Ware County | Consortium | 49 | 5 | 10.2 | Yes | 36 | 6 | 16.7 | Yes | Met |
| 750 | Washington County | Consortium | 10 | 1 | 10.0 | Yes | 8 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 753 | Wheeler County | Consortium | 16 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 5 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 754 | White County | Consortium | 36 | 6 | 16.7 | Yes | 33 | 4 | 12.1 | No | Met |
| 757 | Wilkes County | Consortium | 14 | 2 | 14.3 | Yes | 14 | 3 | 21.4 | Yes | Met |
| 758 | Wilkinson County | Consortium | 14 | 1 | 7.1 | Yes | 13 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |

2008 Consortium District Performance on AMAO 2: Attainment of Proficiency

| System Code | System Name# | Consortium Membership | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers | Number of FAY ELL ACCESS Test Takers Making Proficiency (Scoring at least 5.0 on Tier C) | AMAO-2: 2008 District Proficiency Percentage (AMAO Target = 5%) | 2008 Proficiency Percentage Greater than 5%? | 2nd Look Denominator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as either ELL=Y or ELL=M in 2008 | 2nd Look Numerator: Number of Students Reported as ELL=Y in 2007 and as ELL=M in 2008 | AMAO-2: 2008 Proficiency Second Look Percentage (Target = 13.7%) | AMAO-2: Second Look Criteria Greater than 13.7? | Final 2008 AMAO_2 Proficiency Determination * |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 759 | Worth County | Consortium | 8 | -- | -- | -- | 3 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 763 | Bremen City | Consortium | 5 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 771 | Commerce City | Consortium | 49 | 5 | 10.2 | Yes | 41 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 773 | Decatur City | Consortium | 37 | 2 | 5.4 | Yes | 25 | 4 | 16.0 | Yes | Met |
| 774 | Dublin City | Consortium | 8 | -- | -- | -- | 6 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 779 | Jefferson City | Consortium | 34 | 5 | 14.7 | Yes | 26 | 7 | 26.9 | Yes | Met |
| 784 | Pelham City | Consortium | 1 | -- | -- | -- | 5 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 786 | Social Circle City | Consortium | 15 | 1 | 6.7 | Yes | 11 | 0 | 0.0 | No | Met |
| 789 | Thomasville City | Consortium | 11 | 2 | 18.2 | Yes | 9 | -- | -- | -- | Met |
| 791 | Trion City | Consortium | 33 | 0 | 0.0 | No | 26 | 2 | 7.7 | No | Met |
| 793 | Vidalia City | Consortium | 7 | -- | -- | -- | 6 | -- | -- | -- | Met |

To be included in this table, a consortium district must have at least 1 ELL student with an ACCESS test record who is considered Full Academic Year (FAY).

* All districts in the Consortium met the AMAO 2 target because the consortium met the target. The other data displayed in this table can be used for informational purposes.

For privacy and reliability reasons, performance is only reported for groups with 10 or more students.